Solvation and Reaction of Ammonia in Molecularly Thin Water Films

Barbara A. J. Lechner,^{†,⊥} Youngsoon Kim,^{‡,⊥} Peter J. Feibelman,[§] Graeme Henkelman,^{\parallel} Heon Kang,[‡] and Miquel Salmeron^{*,†}

[†]Materials Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Korea

[§]1401 Sigma Chi Road NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106, United States

^{II}Department of Chemistry and the Institute for Computational Engineering and Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712-0165, United States

ABSTRACT: Determining the interaction and solvation structure of molecules with solvents near a surface is of fundamental importance for understanding electro- and photochemical processes. Here we used scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) to investigate the adsorption and solvation structure of ammonia on water monolayers on Pt(111). We found that at low coverage NH₃ binds preferentially to H₂O molecules that are slightly elevated from the surface and weakly bound to the metal. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations showed that as the NH₃ molecule descends onto the water adlayer a high-lying water molecule reorients with zero energy barrier to expose a dangling OH ligand to H-bond NH₃. We also found that NH₃ prefers to bind to the metal substrate when water only partially covers the surface, indicating that NH₃ is more strongly attracted to the metal than to H₂O. In addition to this solvation interaction, a proton transfer reaction occurs as revealed by reflection—absorption



infrared spectroscopy (RAIRS), leading to the formation of ammonium ions (NH_4^+) in addition to molecularly adsorbed NH_3 .

INTRODUCTION

Because of the economic importance of corrosion, industrial catalysis, and electrochemistry, the interplay of hydrogen bonding and water-metal interactions has been studied extensively.¹⁻³ As a result of such efforts, the first wetting layer on many metals at cryogenic temperatures was found to have no dangling H bonds^{2,4} and thus, potentially, to behave hydrophobically; water monolayers on Pt(111) are a representative example.⁵ The hydrogen atoms in the first wetting layer either form H-bonds to neighboring molecules or point toward the surface.^{4,6–8} Growth of metastable multilayer water films that preserve an H-down orientation of water has been proposed to occur on Pt(111), resulting in the formation of "ferroelectric ice".⁹ The growth of entropically favorable proton-disordered ice requires rotating some of the molecules in the first layer to expose dangling H atoms.^{10,11} In addition to energetic effects, kinetic hindrance of such molecular reorientation has been invoked as the reason for the hydrophobic character of water monolayer films.^{4,12} In previous work, the adsorption of CO and N2 at 20 K was found to flip some H-down water molecules into an H-up configuration on Pt(111),¹³ implying that the flipping energy barrier is low.

On the more reactive Ru(0001) surface, known to dissociate H_2O at temperatures as low as 138 K,¹⁴ low-energy sputtering (LES), reactive ion scattering (RIS), and reflection-absorption infrared spectroscopy (RAIRS) showed that the H_2O monolayer is acidic enough to protonate NH_3 to form $NH_4^{+,15,16}$ However, owing to the spatially averaging nature of the techniques used in these experiments, no information

could be obtained on the structure and spatial distribution of the products formed.

The present study, which is part of an effort to understand solvation phenomena, provides the first real-space images of molecular adsorption on a water monolayer and the initial adsorption sites, which correspond to slightly lifted molecules. Through DFT calculations we discovered that on Pt(111) the adsorption causes such water molecules to rotate and expose an H atom to form a hydrogen bond with the incoming NH₃. Most notably, such a process proceeds without an energy barrier. Further, we have found that ammonia bonds more strongly to Pt than to H₂O and thus segregates from water. This preference of adsorption on the metal is in contrast with the intimately mixed structures on Cu(110) predicted by DFT calculations,¹⁷ a behavior that we attribute to attraction between NH₃ and the unfilled d-bands of Pt.

METHODS

Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) experiments were performed in a home-built low-temperature microscope described elsewhere.¹⁸ A Pt(111) single crystal (Princeton Scientific) was mounted in an ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) chamber with a base pressure of $<7 \times 10^{-11}$ mbar and cleaned by argon ion sputtering at 1 kV followed by annealing to 1300 K and heating in 5×10^{-7} mbar oxygen at 1200 K for 15

Received:August 3, 2015Revised:September 16, 2015Published:September 17, 2015

The Journal of Physical Chemistry C

min to remove carbon contaminations. A final annealing step to 1200 K in UHV removed residual oxygen from the surface. Auger electron spectroscopy and STM confirmed that the surface had less than 1% of defects and impurities and consisted of (111) terraces with an average width of >100 nm. Water (Sigma-Aldrich, deuterium depleted, <1 ppm D₂O) was purified by freeze, pump, and thaw cycles prior to being dosed onto the cooled sample through a directional dosing tube. The sample temperature and time were adjusted to obtain different water structures. Islands of a $(\sqrt{37} \times \sqrt{37})$ R25.3° structure and a complete $(\sqrt{39} \times \sqrt{39})$ R16.1° water film were formed by different exposures at 140 K, while a ($\sqrt{3}$ × $\sqrt{3}$ R30° water layer was formed by depositing H₂O at 77 K and annealing the surface to 140 K. A clean Pt(111) surface was recovered after annealing to 160 K for 20 min, indicating that the water structures consist of intact H₂O molecules and do not contain hydroxyl species.¹⁹ Ammonia (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.99%) was deposited through another directional dosing tube at sample temperatures of 5 and 77 K. Heating the sample to 350 K desorbed ammonia to recover the clean Pt(111) surface.

Reflection-absorption infrared spectroscopy (RAIRS) measurements were performed in a multipurpose UHV surface analysis chamber equipped with instrumentation for LES, RIS, and temperature-programmed desorption (TPD), with a background pressure of $<2 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar.²⁰ The experiment was performed in a grazing angle (84°) reflection geometry, with linearly p-polarized infrared (IR) light in a Fourier transform IR instrument (PerkinElmer) equipped with a mercury-cadmium telluride detector. In this arrangement, RAIRS detects vibrations with the transition dipole moment perpendicular to the surface. All RAIR spectra were averaged 256 times at a spectral resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and measured at a temperature of 90 K. The water layer was prepared by vapor deposition at 140 K, conditions known to produce ($\sqrt{37}$ × $\sqrt{37}$ R25.3° and $(\sqrt{39} \times \sqrt{39})$ R16.1° water films depending on exposure.^{2,21} The surface coverage of water and ammonia on Pt(111) was estimated from TPD experiments.^{22,23}

We generated DFT results using the projector augmented wave (PAW) version^{24,25} of the VASP, plane-wave DFT code.^{26,27} Electron exchange-correlation effects were treated in the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) version of the generalized gradient approximation.²⁸ We modeled the metal substrate as a three-layer Pt(111) slab, fixing its lowest layer atoms at theoretical bulk Pt positions (PBE lattice constant = 3.97 Å, compared to experiment = 3.92 Å).

A perfect $(\sqrt{37} \times \sqrt{37})$ R25.3° H₂O layer was initially adsorbed on the uppermost Pt layer and an NH₃ molecule situated with its symmetry axis normal to the slab. Its H atoms were set higher than its N atom, which was 5.6 Å directly above the O atom of a high-lying, H-down water molecule. In the final state of the sticking calculation, the NH₃ had accepted an H bond from the underlying host H₂O molecule, whose free OH ligand had flipped up to bind the NH₃. In the final state, the N atom was located 2.77 Å away from the O atom, and the system had gained an adsorption energy of about 0.42 eV.

We took pains to cancel unphysical fields associated with adsorption on the upper Pt surface only.²⁹ The accuracy of the cancellation requires a sufficiently wide vacuum region (19.2 Å) between each slab with its upper-layer adsorbates and the bottom of its periodic neighbor slab. Thus, we set the distance between the NH₃ molecule and the bottom of the periodic image slab above it to about 13.6 Å.

To estimate the NH₃ sticking barrier, we used the Climbing Image Nudged Elastic Band Method³⁰ (CINEB) with five images spaced along the sticking path (minimum energy path, MEP). Michaelides, Alavi, and King³¹ have found, for an idealized first layer of water on Ru(0001), that rotation of a water molecule in its H–O–H plane is the low-energy mode for replacing a downward-pointing free OH by an upwardpointing one. We assumed the same would hold here. This assumption was amply validated by our discovery, discussed further below, that the OH flip then proceeds with no barrier at all.

We conducted initial calculations using a gamma-point-only surface Brillouin zone (SBZ) sample and a plane-wave cutoff equal to 400 eV. We then checked the quality of the results by redoing the calculations with the SBZ sample increased to 3×3 or the plane-wave cutoff raised to 756 eV. Because the changes associated with these improvements were small, we assumed they are additive.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First Water Layer on Pt(111). On Pt(111), water adsorbs intact and forms three ordered monolayer (ML) structures,^{5,32} representative images of which are shown in Figure 1. The energetically most stable structure is a $(\sqrt{37} \times \sqrt{37})$ R25.3° arrangement of pentagons, hexagons, and heptagons (henceforth termed R37 structure) exhibiting a Moiré-like pattern as shown in Figure 1a. DFT calculations showed that all molecules in this structure adsorb either flat-lying or with a hydrogen



Figure 1. Water exhibits three different monolayer structures on Pt(111). (a) The $(\sqrt{37} \times \sqrt{37})$ R25.3° structure is energetically most favorable. (b) The $(\sqrt{39} \times \sqrt{39})$ R16.1° structure forms when a full wetting layer is achieved. The bright spots on top of the Moiré structure are due to a single second layer water molecule per unit cell. (c) The $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° structure exhibits some hexagons with a dark center, in our images, which we attribute to H atom impurities trapped inside and pinning the water layer to the substrate. Image parameters: (a) U = -150 mV, I = 14 pA, 10 nm \times 5 nm, 5 K, (b) U = 200 mV, I = 15 pA, 10 nm \times 5 nm, 5 K, (c) U = 150 mV, I = 10 pA, 10 nm \times 5 nm, 77 K.

The Journal of Physical Chemistry C

atom pointing toward the substrate. Water molecules bonded close to the surface, through a Pt–O bond, appear darker in STM images (tip closer to the surface), while molecules not in registry with the substrate are slightly lifted off the surface and appear brighter in the image (tip farther away from the surface).⁵ As the coverage is increased the surface rearranges to form a $(\sqrt{39} \times \sqrt{39})$ R16.1° structure (R39 structure), which is a slightly denser arrangement of pentagons, hexagons, and heptagons. The R39 structure also consists of flat-lying and of H-down-oriented molecules and typically includes one second layer water molecule per unit cell, which exposes a dangling H atom. The first layer again appears as a Moiré of closely bonded (dark) and lifted (bright) molecules in STM, on top of which additional H₂O molecules form a regular array of bright spots (cf. Figure 1b).⁵

In addition to these two energetically favored structures, water can form a $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° structure (R3 structure), which is 0.1 eV/molecule less stable than the R37 structure. Mixed water-hydroxyl films, formed by coadsorption of H₂O and O atoms¹⁹ or by electron injection after prolonged scanning in an STM experiment,³² were reported to exhibit $(\sqrt{3} \times \sqrt{3})$ R30° geometry. In the present case, however, the clean substrate was recovered after annealing to 160 K, indicating that the H_2O molecules were intact,¹⁹ forming an array of hexagons resembling a flattened bilayer of the basal plane of hexagonal Ih ice. In our STM images, some of the hexagons in the R3 phase exhibit a dark center (cf. Figure 1c). In previous experiments on Ru(0001), a similar phenomenon was observed, which could be explained as a result of hydrogen atoms being trapped inside the water hexagon.¹⁴ Since H₂ is a common contaminant in a vacuum chamber, we propose H atoms trapped in the center of the water hexagon as the stabilizing agent of the R3 structure. In addition, we observe narrow regions separating water hexagons that appear brighter. In analogy with the R37 and R39 H₂O structures, we assume the brighter regions contain water molecules that are slightly lifted off the surface. To the best of our knowledge, such a buckled structure has not been reported previously.

Adsorption of Ammonia on a Fully Water-Covered Surface. To study the interaction of ammonia with water, we first adsorbed NH₃ on a surface fully covered by a water layer, either in the R39 or the R3 structure. Figure 2a shows a high-resolution STM image recorded after adsorption of ammonia on the R39 water monolayer, revealing two new species. First, we observe that some of the bright bumps of the second layer water molecules are replaced by even brighter protrusions. The second layer water molecules in this structure were shown to exhibit a dangling H atom,^{5,13} suggesting that ammonia can H-bond to such a molecule or displace it to form a hydrogen bond with first layer molecules. Second, some of the water hexagons commensurate with the substrate (located in the darker areas) show a new species in their center, imaged as a protrusion inside the dark triangles.

To identify the species observed in STM chemically, we performed RAIRS experiments of ammonia on 1.2 ML water, i.e., on a complete first and partial second layer, shown in panel I of Figure 3. Before ammonia adsorption, the pure water spectrum shows the expected O–H stretch (~3390 cm⁻¹) and scissors (~1630 cm⁻¹) modes of water. The appearance of a dangling OH peak at 3710 cm⁻¹ in the spectrum for pure water is in line with the presence of second layer water molecules in the R39 structure that expose dangling H atoms.^{5,13} Upon ammonia adsorption (cf. Figure 3b) the intensity of the

Article



Figure 2. STM images of ammonia adsorption on a completely watercovered Pt(111) surface. (a) On the R39 water layer, NH₃ adsorbs at the location of the individual second layer water molecules, evident as a change in brightness, while NH₄⁺ ions appear in the center of the dark water hexagons. (b) When adsorbed on a full R3 monolayer film (dark gray), with additional islands of a second water layer (light gray), ammonia (bright protrusions) bonds to water molecules in areas in the first monolayer that are lifted off the substrate and thus appear brighter, as evident in close-ups (c) and (d), while it does not adsorb on the flat and ordered second layer islands, shown in (e). Image parameters: (a) U = 200 mV, I = 15 pA, 10 nm × 10 nm, 5 K, (b) U =200 mV, I = 17 pA, 143 nm × 93 nm, 77 K, (c) U = 200 mV, I = 17pA, 50 nm × 50 nm, 77 K, (d) U = 200 mV, I = 17 pA, 10 nm × 10 nm, 77 K, (e) U = 240 mV, I = 23 pA, 10 nm × 10 nm, 77 K.



Figure 3. RAIRS investigation of NH_3 adsorption on a water film on Pt(111). Panel I shows results for a full water layer with a partial second layer coverage (1.2 ML), while panel II presents the case of an incomplete water layer of 0.7 ML coverage. (a) and (d) show spectra of the pure H_2O films prepared at 140 K. Spectra (b) and (e) were measured after deposition of NH_3 onto the respective water layers, while (c) and (f) display the absorbance difference before and after ammonia adsorption. The dashed lines mark the vibrational bands of dangling OH groups, ammonium (NH_4^+), and ammonia (NH_3).

dangling OH band decreases, also in line with the STM observation that ammonia bonds to the dangling H of the second layer water molecules to form an O–H…N bond. At the same time, two strong bands at ~1110 and ~1470 cm⁻¹ and a weaker one at ~1250 cm⁻¹ appear, which can be assigned to the ν_2 (umbrella) mode of NH₃ adsorbed on water,³³ the ν_4

(asymmetric deformation) mode of ammonium (NH₄⁺), $^{15,16,33-36}$ and the ν_2 mode of NH₃ bonded to both Pt and H_2O , e.g., at the edges of holes in the ML,^{35,37} respectively. RAIRS experiments thus indicate that ammonia can adsorb onto the water ML, bonding to the dangling H atom of second layer H₂O and also to the Pt substrate, where available. Further, the formation of NH₄⁺ by proton transfer from water to ammonia is observed, which does not readily occur in the liquid state, in accordance with the findings on a water ML on Ru(0001).^{20,22} We propose that the protrusions in the center of the commensurate water hexagons in our STM images correspond to ammonium ions, while the bright bumps on the second layer water molecules are ammonia molecules hydrogen bonded to the dangling OH groups. This assignment is consistent with the observation that NH₄⁺ is attracted to its image charge in the substrate and therefore likely displaces to bond as closely to the surface as possible, i.e., in the lowest water regions.

In comparison, Figure 2b shows STM images of a surface with a complete first layer of the energetically less favorable R3 water structure (gray) plus second layer islands (brighter areas). High-resolution images of the monolayer R3 regions reveal the presence of ammonia molecules, imaged as bright spots in Figures 2c and d. However, on the second layer water islands, also with R3 structure, no ammonia molecules were found (cf. Figure 2e). An interesting observation is that ammonia only adsorbs in regions of the first layer where the R3 structures appears brighter in STM. The preference of ammonia to bond to lifted water suggests that these water molecules either expose a dangling H atom or can be easily flipped around to expose one.

Adsorption of Ammonia on a Partially Water-Covered Surface. Having studied the adsorption of ammonia on a full water layer, we coadsorbed ammonia with a partial water monolayer to investigate the effect of the Pt(111) substrate. Figure 4a shows a representative STM image obtained after dosing ammonia onto a submonolayer water film in the R3 phase. We observe substantial segregation of the two species, with water-forming monolayer islands (imaged as bright regions) and ammonia molecules adsorbed preferentially on areas of bare Pt (bright protrusions on the dark background). Two different species can be distinguished in the bare substrate regions, appearing as protrusions of different height (brightness), which correspond to NH₃ monomers and dimers, as previously observed on Ru(0001).³⁸ As on Ru, the dimers could be dissociated into monomers by applying a 2 V pulse with the tip. On the R3 water islands (cf. Figure 4c), very few bright protrusions are observed, suggesting that ammonia moves off the water islands and onto the substrate.

We can understand the formation of separate H_2O and NH_3 domains by considering bond strengths. The interaction of NH_3 and H_2O is based on hydrogen bonding, while the molecule–substrate interaction is covalent. In the bulk, the molecules can reorient easily to optimize the H-bond network, forming intimately mixed crystal structures.³⁹ On a metal surface, however, the molecules cannot reorient freely, and adsorption structures are thus determined by the interplay of adsorbate–substrate and interadsorbate bond strengths. For $H_2O/Pt(111)$, the interactions are of similar strength, whereas for $NH_3/Pt(111)$, the adsorbate–substrate interaction dominates.^{4,40} Thus, water grows in crystalline films on Pt(111), while ammonia forms irregular assemblies of molecules bonded to Pt through the N atom.^{40–42} The hydrogen bond strength in



Figure 4. Water and ammonia coadsorption on Pt(111). (a) When coadsorbed with R3 water islands (gray) at 77 K, ammonia adsorbs predominantly on bare Pt (bright protrusions on black background), and only few molecules are found on top of water (c). (b) On R37 islands at 5 K, ammonia can bond both to Pt and water, but the molecules move under the STM tip, as evident by the streaks in (d). After prolonged scanning, most of the mobile species have moved onto the Pt substrate, and only a low coverage of ammonia remains on water (e). Image parameters: (a) U = 150 mV, I = 12 pA, 50 nm × 50 nm, 77 K, (b) U = -210 mV, I = 8 pA, 50 nm × 50 nm, 5 K, (c) U = 150 mV, I = 12 pA, 10 nm × 10 nm, 5 K, (e) U = -150 mV, I = 12 pA, 10 nm × 10 nm, 5 K.

water is typically 26 kJ/mol, while for bulk NH₃ it is only ~11 kJ/mol.⁴³ Moreover, amounting to 23 kJ/mol, the O–H…N bond is stronger than both the N–H…N and N–H…O (11 kJ/mol) bonds,⁴³ implying that it is preferable for NH₃ to bond to H–O through the N atom.

To investigate the interaction of ammonia with a water layer known to expose no dangling H atoms, we deposited NH₃ onto R37 water islands at 5 K (cf. Figure 4b). Such low-temperature experiments not only limit adsorbate mobility but also provide important information about the energy barrier involved in the NH_3 adsorption process. As k_BT is only 0.4 meV at 5 K, where $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant, observing a rotation from Hdown to H-up water similar to that proposed on R3 water suggests a very low activation barrier. Figure 4d shows a highresolution image recorded shortly after ammonia deposition. The Moiré structure of the R37 water structure is still clearly visible, but the lifted regions are covered in streaks and bright spots, confirming that NH₃ adsorption occurs even at 5 K. The streaky appearance suggests that molecules are moving under the tip. Since the streaks only appear on top of brightly imaged water regions, we infer that the mobile species bond to H₂O molecules that are weakly bonded to the substrate, analogous to our observation on the R3 water film. After prolonged scanning, the mobile species largely disappear, and the structure of the underlying water layer becomes visible, as in Figure 4e. These observations indicate that some molecules move off the water layer and onto the Pt substrate where they can bind more strongly, likely through the interaction with the STM tip. In addition, we observe occasional bright bumps, one of the same height as the streaks, and also a brighter kind, suggesting that two species are present.

The Journal of Physical Chemistry C

To determine whether the protrusions observed in STM are due to ammonia or ammonium species, we conducted RAIRS measurements of a partially water-covered Pt(111) surface (0.7 ML) before and after NH₃ adsorption, shown in panel II of Figure 3. The growth conditions employed here (cf. Methods) imply the presence of an R37 water layer. The conspicuous absence of a free O-H stretch band at ~ 3710 cm⁻¹, characteristic of dangling OH groups, indicates that the clean R37 water layer exposes no unsaturated H atoms.⁴ Adsorption of ammonia onto this surface results in vibrational features for NH_3 on H_2O at 1110 cm⁻¹, NH_3 at the $Pt-H_2O$ interface at 1250 cm⁻¹, and NH₄⁺ at 1470 cm⁻¹. RAIRS thus suggests that, in addition to H-bonding NH₃, the water provides protons to form ammonium ions. As the N-H…O bond is weaker than the O-H…N bond, NH_4^+ is likely the more mobile species on H₂O than NH₃ and can thus move off the H₂O islands onto bare Pt under the STM tip, where it can decompose into NH₃ and H.¹⁶

Atomic-Scale Details of the NH₃ Adsorption Process. Our STM measurements show that NH₃ only bonds to regions in an R3 and R37 water film where H₂O molecules are lifted off the surface and thus weakly bonded to the metal, suggesting that an H₂O reorients to accommodate the NH₃ adsorbate. Since NH₃ bonds to the second layer water molecules that expose a dangling H in the R39 structure, it is likely that a weakly bonded H₂O in the R3 or R37 film can rotate to provide an H pointing to the NH₃ and forming an H bond. As this effect is observed even at 5 K, the energy barrier for the process must be low. To investigate the adsorption process and the energetics involved, we performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations, using the climbing image nudged elastic band method. Previous such calculations for a hypothetical, pure H₂O R3 structure showed barriers for water flipping, of 0.1 eV on Pt(111)⁴⁴ and 0.3 eV on Ru(0001).³¹ Compared to thermal energies of $k_{\rm B}T$ = 6.6 meV at 77 K and 0.4 meV at 5 K, these values are too high to explain how NH₃ sticking can occur.

Here, we calculated the sticking barrier for the R37 structure, but unlike in the barrier estimates of refs 44 and 31, the molecule descending onto the water layer was present in our calculations. With the NH₃ molecule included, rotation of an H₂O in its H–O–H plane does not begin simply by breaking an H-bond in the water layer. Instead, a new H-bond is forming between the incoming NH₃ and the OH ligand rotating out of the water layer. This is what makes the barrier small: new bonds form as old ones break. High barriers occur in the absence of an adsorbing molecule because the energy of breaking the H-bond is not compensated by new bond formation. The results of our CINEB optimization of the minimum energy trajectory are shown in Figure 5. Note that there is no adsorption barrier, a result we found to be robust against improving the SBZ sample from 1×1 to 3×3 or increasing the plane-wave basis cutoff from 400 to 756 eV.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, our investigation of the interaction of two different H-bonding molecules, NH_3 and H_2O , on a Pt substrate provides important information on the molecular level details of the solvation and reaction process of ammonia by water. We found that the ammonia adsorption process involves the rotation of an H-down molecule into an H-up configuration and proceeds without an energy barrier. The solvation structure in that case consists of a single water





Figure 5. Energetics along the computed minimum energy path for NH₃ sticking to a ($\sqrt{37} \times \sqrt{37}$)R25.3° H₂O layer on Pt(111), computed assuming rotation of the host H₂O in the H–O–H plane. These results were computed with plane-wave cutoff = 400 eV and a gamma-point SBZ sample. Inset: Initial state of the calculation. The N atom of the NH₃ is colored purple, and the O of the H₂O to which it sticks is green. Remaining O atoms are red, H atoms white, and Pt atoms light yellow. The rotation axis of the host H₂O is indicated by a blue line. The rotation occurs as the NH₃ molecule descends and binds.

molecule donating an H bond to the ammonia molecule $(HOH \cdots NH_3)$. This distorts the local environment of the "solvating" molecule, which now does not point its H to the Pt surface atoms. On a partially water-covered surface, ammonia prefers to bond to the Pt substrate rather than to water, resulting in the formation of largely separate water and ammonia domains on the Pt(111) substrate. We also learned from RAIRS that in addition to solvated NH_3 , NH_4^+ ions are formed as a result of proton transfer from H_2O to NH_3 . Our experiments show that ammonia flags the molecular sites where water molecules can rotate to expose dangling H bonds and which are necessary to initiate bulk ice growth.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: mbsalmeron@lbl.gov.

Author Contributions

[⊥]These authors contributed equally.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences and Engineering of the U.S. DOE, under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231. VASP was originally developed at the Institut für Theoretische Physik of the Technische Universität Wien and is under continuing development in the Physics Department of the Universität Wien, Austria. This research used resources of the National Energy Research Scientific Computing Center, which is supported by the Office of Science of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-05CH11231. Y. Kim and H. Kang acknowledge support by the grant of the National Research Foundation (NRF) of Korea funded by the Korea government (MSIP) (No. 2007-0056095).

REFERENCES

(1) Henderson, M. A. The Interaction of Water with Solid Surfaces: Fundamental Aspects Revisited. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2002**, *46*, 1–308.

(2) Hodgson, A.; Haq, S. Water Adsorption and the Wetting of Metal Surfaces. *Surf. Sci. Rep.* **2009**, *64* (9), 381–451.

(3) Carrasco, J.; Hodgson, A.; Michaelides, A. A Molecular Perspective of Water at Metal Interfaces. *Nat. Mater.* **2012**, *11* (8), 667–674.

(4) Kimmel, G. A.; Petrik, N. G.; Dohnálek, Z.; Kay, B. D. Crystalline Ice Growth on Pt(111) and Pd(111): Nonwetting Growth on a Hydrophobic Water Monolayer. J. Chem. Phys. **2007**, *126*, 114702.

(5) Nie, S.; Feibelman, P. J.; Bartelt, N. C.; Thürmer, K. Pentagons and Heptagons in the First Water Layer on Pt(111). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, *105* (2), 026102.

(6) Haq, S.; Hodgson, A. Multilayer Growth and Wetting of Ru(0001). J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 5946–5953.

(7) Park, S.; Sposito, G. Structure of Water Adsorbed on a Mica Surface. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2002**, 89 (8), 085501.

(8) Miranda, P. B.; Xu, L.; Shen, Y. R.; Salmeron, M. Icelike Water Monolayer Adsorbed on Mica at Room Temperature. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1998**, *81* (26), 5876–5879.

(9) Su, X.; Lianos, L.; Shen, Y. R.; Somorjai, G. A. Phys. Rev. Lett. **1998**, 80 (7), 1533-1536.

(10) Thürmer, K.; Bartelt, N. Nucleation-Limited Dewetting of Ice Films on Pt(111). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2008**, *100* (18), 186101.

(11) Limmer, D. T.; Willard, A. P.; Madden, P.; Chandler, D. Hydration of Metal Surfaces Can Be Dynamically Heterogeneous and Hydrophobic. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **2013**, *110* (11), 4200.

(12) Kimmel, G. A.; Petrik, N. G.; Dohnálek, Z.; Kay, B. D. Layer-by-Layer Growth of Thin Amorphous Solid Water Films on Pt(111) and Pd(111). *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *125*, 044713.

(13) Kimmel, G. A.; Zubkov, T.; Smith, R. S.; Petrik, N. G.; Kay, B. D. Turning Things Downside up: Adsorbate Induced Water Flipping on Pt(111). *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *141*, 18C515.

(14) Maier, S.; Stass, I.; Cerdá, J. I.; Salmeron, M. Unveiling the Mechanism of Water Partial Dissociation on Ru(0001). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *112*, 126101.

(15) Kim, Y.; Moon, E.; Shin, S.; Kang, H. Acidic Water Monolayer on Ruthenium(0001). *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 12806–12809.

(16) Kim, Y.; Shin, S.; Moon, E.; Kang, H. Spectroscopic Monitoring of the Acidity of Water Films on Ru(0001): Orientation-Specific Acidity of Adsorbed Water. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 3376–3383.

(17) Jones, G.; Jenkins, S. J. Water and Ammonia on Cu{110}: Comparative Structure and Bonding. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2013, 15 (13), 4785-4798.

(18) Shimizu, T. K.; Mugarza, A.; Cerdá, J. I.; Heyde, M.; Qi, Y.; Schwarz, U. D.; Ogletree, D. F.; Salmeron, M. Surface Species Formed by the Adsorption and Dissociation of Water Molecules on a Ru(0001) Surface Containing a Small Coverage of Carbon Atoms Studied by Scanning Tunneling Microscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2008, *112* (0001), 7445–7454.

(19) Clay, C.; Haq, S.; Hodgson, A. Hydrogen Bonding in Mixed OH + H2O Overlayers on Pt(111). *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, 92 (4), 046102.

(20) Kang, H. Reactive Ion Scattering of Low Energy Cs+ from Surfaces. A Technique for Surface Molecular Analysis. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *32* (2), 389–398.

(21) Feibelman, P. J.; Kimmel, G. A.; Smith, R. S.; Petrik, N. G.; Zubkov, T.; Kay, B. D. A Unique Vibrational Signature of Rotated Water Monolayers on Pt(111): Predicted and Observed. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *134* (20), 204702.

(22) Zimbitas, G.; Haq, S.; Hodgson, A. The Structure and Crystallization of Thin Water Films on Pt(111). *J. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *123* (17), 174701.

(23) Gland, J. L.; Kollin, E. B. Ammonia Adsorption on the Pt(111) and Pt(S)-6(111)x(111) Surfaces. *Surf. Sci.* **1981**, *104*, 478–490.

(24) Blöchl, P. E. Projector Augmented-Wave Method. Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys. 1994, 50 (24), 17953-17979.

(25) Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From Ultrasoft Pseudopotentials to the Projector Augmented-Wave Method. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1999**, *59* (3), 1758–1775.

(26) Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient Iterative Schemes for Ab Initio Total-Energy Calculations Using a Plane-Wave Basis Set. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1996**, *54* (16), 11169–11186.

(27) Kresse, G.; Hafner, J. Ab Initio Molecular-Dynamics Simulation of the Liquid-Metal - Amorphous-Semiconductor Transition in Germanium. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1994**, 49 (20), 14251–14269.

(28) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1996**, 77 (18), 3865– 3868.

(29) Neugebauer, J.; Scheffler, M. Adsorbate-Substrate and Adsorbate-Adsorbate Interactions of Na and K Adlayers on Al(111). *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1992**, *46*, 16067. As corrected by Bengtsson, L. Dipole correction for surface supercell calculations. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **1999**, *59*, 12301.

(30) Henkelman, G.; Uberuaga, B. P.; Jónsson, H. Climbing Image Nudged Elastic Band Method for Finding Saddle Points and Minimum Energy Paths. J. Chem. Phys. **2000**, 113 (22), 9901–9904.

(31) Michaelides, A.; Alavi, A.; King, D. A. Different Surface Chemistries of Water on Ru{0001}: From Monomer Adsorption to Partially Dissociated Bilayers. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2746–2755.

(32) Standop, S.; Morgenstern, M.; Michely, T.; Busse, C. H2O on Pt(111): Structure and Stability of the First Wetting Layer. *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **2012**, *24* (12), 124103.

(33) Pursell, C. J.; Zaidi, M.; Thompson, A.; Fraser-Gaston, C.; Vela, E. Acid - Base Chemistry on Crystalline Ice: HCl + NH3. J. Phys. Chem. A 2000, 104 (3), 552–556.

(34) Schutte, W. A.; Khanna, R. K. Origin of the 6.85 Micron Band near Young Stellar Objects: The Ammonium Ion (NH4+) Revisited. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2002**, *398*, 1049–1062.

(35) Takaoka, T.; Inamura, M.; Yanagimachi, S.; Kusunoki, I.; Komeda, T. Ammonia Adsorption on Diffusion into Thin Ice Films Grown on Pt(111). J. Chem. Phys. **2004**, 121 (9), 4331–4338.

(36) Moon, E. S.; Kim, Y.; Shin, S.; Kang, H. Asymmetric Transport Efficiencies of Positive and Negative Ion Defects in Amorphous Ice. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 1–5.

(37) Ogasawara, H.; Horimoto, N.; Kawai, M. Ammonia Adsorption by Hydrogen Bond on Ice and Its Solvation Ammonia Adsorption by Hydrogen Bond on Ice and Its Solvation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *112*, 8229–8232.

(38) Maier, S.; Stass, I.; Cerdá, J. I.; Salmeron, M. Bonding of Ammonia and Its Dehydrogenated Fragments on Ru(0001). *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2012, *116* (48), 25395–25400.

(39) Olovsson, I.; Templeton, D. H. The Crystal Structure of Ammonia Monohydrate*. *Acta Crystallogr.* **1959**, *12*, 827.

(40) Sexton, B. A.; Mitchell, G. E. Vibrational Spectra of Ammonia Chemisorbed on Platinum(111) I. Identification of Chemisorbed States. *Surf. Sci.* **1980**, *99*, 523–538.

(41) Peronio, A.; Cepellotti, A.; Marchini, S.; Abdurakhmanova, N.; Dri, C.; Africh, C.; Esch, F.; Peressi, M.; Comelli, G. NH3–NO Coadsorption System on Pt(111). I. Structure of the Mixed Layer. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2013, *117*, 21186–21195.

(42) Cepellotti, A.; Peronio, A.; Marchini, S.; Abdurakhmanova, N.; Dri, C.; Africh, C.; Esch, F.; Comelli, G.; Peressi, M. NH3–NO Coadsorption System on Pt(111). II. Intermolecular Interaction. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2013**, *117*, 21196–21202.

(43) Uras, N.; Buch, V.; Devlin, J. Hydrogen Bond Surface Chemistry: Interaction of NH3 with an Ice Particle. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2000**, *104*, 9203–9209. (44) Meng, S.; Wang, E.; Gao, S. Water Adsorption on Metal Surfaces: A General Picture from Density Functional Theory Studies. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2004**, *69* (19), 195404.