Self-Assembled Cu–Sn–S Nanotubes with High (De)Lithiation Performance
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ABSTRACT: Through a gelation–solvothermal method without heteroadditives, Cu–Sn–S composites self-assemble to form nanotubes, sub-nanotubes, and nanoparticles. The nanotubes with a Cu3−4SnS4 core and Cu2SnS3 shell can tolerate long cycles of expansion/contraction upon lithiation/delithiation, retaining a charge capacity of 774 mAh g−1 after 200 cycles with a high initial Coulombic efficiency of 82.5%. The importance of the Cu component for mitigation of the volume expansion and structural evolution upon lithiation is informed by density functional theory calculations. The self-generated template and calculated results can inspire the design of analogous Cu–M–S (M = metal) nanotubes for lithium batteries or other energy storage systems.
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in-based sulfides are prospective electrodes for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs), due to the high lithiation ability of Sn (~4.4 Li for per Sn) and large interlayer spacings (c = 0.5899/0.4330 for SnS/SnS2) for energy storage. However, the lithiation potentials of tin-based composites are too low to be exploited as cathodes in Li metal batteries. Introducing a metallic component with a high electrochemical potential can improve the specific energy. Cu–Sn–S (CTS), a low-toxicity and earth-abundant ternary alloy, has a high specific capacity and superior energy density compared with other Cu/Sn-based sulfides in Li metal batteries (Table S1 and Figure S1). The large interlayer and tunnel sizes of CTS are also advantageous for Li diffusion, so a few studies (mostly Cu2SnS3 particles) have explored its potential use in LIBs, but there has been a lack of detailed investigations regarding the phase composition and structural evolution upon lithiation. On the other hand, the highly lithiated products are accompanied by huge volume expansion leading to rapid capacity degradation. Nanostructured materials can help accommodate the adverse stress, especially one-dimensional nanomaterials, including Cu@SnS nanowires, SnS2@SiO2 nanorods, and Sn@carbon nanotubes. But most of them are fabricated by using constrained templates (e.g., anodic alumina and carbon nanotube) and heteroadditives (template agent, surfactant, catalyst, etc.). Core–shell1–3 or gradient structures4–11 with changing stoichiometries in the LiNi1−xMxO2 (M = metal) cathodes can be effective for improving cyclability. However, this idea has been rarely applied to Li-free electrodes (rather than core–shell heterostructures) due to the difficulties in synthesis and characterization. Moreover, no work has been reported about one-dimensional CTS materials for LIBs.

For the above reasons, we combine gelation and solvothermal methods to synthesize the CTS nanotubes (NTs), sub-nanotubes (SNTs), and nanoparticles (NPs) without heteroadditives, as illustrated in Scheme 1, and the related processes are described in detail in the Methods. Material characterizations reveal the core–shell structure of Cu3−4SnS4@Cu2SnS3 in the CTS NTs, facilitating the highest charge capacity of 774 mAh g−1 after 200 cycles. Additionally, we have constructed atomic scale models of the CTS materials with three different stoichiometries,
based upon density functional theory (DFT) and global optimization using the basin-hopping algorithm. These DFT calculations provide insights into the volume expansion, electrochemical potentials, formation enthalpy, and structural changes of ternary alloy materials upon lithiation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Utilizing the self-assembled CuCl−thiourea (Tu) complex nanotubes as shown in the scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of Figure 1a,b, the homogeneous and porous CTS NTs (Figure 1c,d) are generated in the solvothermal process. The hollow nanotubes are composed of numerous CTS nanoparticles. The energy dispersive spectra (EDS) elemental mappings (Figure 1e−h) show that Cu, Sn, and S elements are uniformly distributed in the nanotubes. Owing to the flexible structure, the volume expansion can be accommodated for lithiation. In addition, the nanotubes are tightly packed (Figure 1i) and form a compact film as the electrode film (Figure 1j), reducing the consumption of Li+ for the formation of solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) film.

Derived of a CuCl2−Tu complex to form imperfect sub-nanotubes (Figure 2a,b), Sn ions react with the precursor to assemble CTS SNTs (Figure 2c,d) in the solvothermal step. Unlike the CTS NTs, the EDS elemental mappings (Figure 2e−h) show that Cu, Sn, and S elements are distributed mainly on the surface and surrounding areas, signifying a different phase distribution and unstable divided structure for lithium storage. The crystal structures of the related complexes are shown in Figure S2. The surface areas and porosity of the CTS NTs and SNTs are also investigated by nitrogen absorption and desorption measurements (Figure S3), elucidating the larger specific surface area and pore size of the CTS SNTs compared to the CTS NTs.

The SEM images of the CTS NPs (Figure 2i,j) display that the CTS materials inside the dense particles are compact with a rough texture forming large aggregations. From the EDS elemental mappings (Figure 2k−n), Cu, Sn, and S elements tend to concentrate in the center, implying the sluggish Li+ penetration into the internal active materials and the triggered large volume expansion after full lithiation.

The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of all samples (Figure 3a) show that the compounds representing the CTS NPs, SNTs, and NTs are indexed as Cu2SnS3, Cu3SnS4, and Cu4SnS4, respectively. Figure 3b indicates that the CTS NPs are composed of only the Cu2SnS3 phase. Figure 3c,d indicate that both the CTS SNTs and NTs contain a mix of the Cu2SnS3, Cu3SnS4, and Cu4SnS4 phases. The major compound for both the CTS SNTs and NTs is Cu2SnS3: 73.3% for the CTS SNTs and 48.6% for the CTS NTs. Figure 3c shows that the CTS SNTs consist of Cu3SnS4 (23.0%) and Cu2SnS3 (3.7%). In contrast, Figure 3d demonstrates that Cu4SnS4 (37.2%) is a larger component of the CTS NTs than Cu2SnS3 (14.2%). Therefore, it can be considered that the CTS SNTs more reflect the character of Cu3SnS4, while the CTS NTs reflect Cu2SnS3. The detailed relaxed atomic structures are

Figure 1. SEM images of (a, b) CuCl−Tu complex nanotubes, (c, d) CTS NTs, and (e−h) corresponding EDS elemental mappings. (i) Surface SEM image of stacked film and (j) cross-section SEM image of electrode film of CTS NTs.
displayed in Figure S4, and the calculated lattice parameters are listed in Table S2.

The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images and corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of the CTS NTs are shown in Figure 4a, displaying the hollow hierarchical structure with solid nanoparticles on the surface of the nanotubes. From the edge areas of the high-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) images (Figure 4b), two cross lattice fringes with interplanar spacings of 0.27 and 0.31 nm are indexed as the (200) and (111) crystal planes of Cu₂SnS₄ (JCPDS 89-2877). All the diffraction spots of the outside (O) region (top-right inset of Figure 4a) are also indexed as the Cu₂SnS₄ phase, while the diffraction spot array of the inside (I) region (bottom-left inset of Figure 4a) is indexed as the (102), (122), and (220) facets along the [221] orientation of Cu₃SnS₄ (JCPDS 71-0129). The different core and shell phases are also confirmed by the scanning-TEM (STEM) mappings of the CTS NTs (Figure 4c−f), displaying some bright regions with more Cu and S, that is, Cu₃SnS₄ phases exist inside the nanotubes.

The TEM images and related SAED patterns of the CTS SNTs are shown in Figure 4g, displaying the self-formed hollow nanoparticles on the surface of the imperfect sub-nanotubes. From the HR-TEM of the edge of the CTS SNTs (Figure 4h), the lattice fringes with an interplanar spacing of 0.29 nm are indexed as the (126) crystal plane of Cu₃SnS₄ (JCPDS 36-0217) or the (112) crystal plane of Cu₄SnS₄. The diffraction spot array of the outside (O) region (top-right inset of Figure 4g) is indexed as the (212), (434), and (622) facets along the [185] direction of Cu₄SnS₄, while all the diffraction rings of the inside (I) region (bottom-left inset of Figure 4g) are indexed as the Cu₂SnS₄ phase. The STEM mappings of the CTS SNTs (Figure 4i−l) confirm the porous nanotubes are composed of discrete nanoparticles, which are not tightly bound to each other, i.e., a mechanically weak structure. The STEM elemental distributions

Figure 2. SEM images of (a, b) CuCl₂−Tu complex sub-nanotubes, (c, d) CTS SNTs, and (e−h) corresponding EDS elemental mappings. SEM images of (i, j) CTS NPs and (k−n) corresponding EDS elemental mappings.

Figure 3. (a) XRD patterns and representative phases. (b−d) Rietveld refinements for XRD patterns of CTS NPs, SNTs, and NTs and representative atomic structures of Cu₂SnS₄, Cu₃SnS₄, and Cu₄SnS₄. Atomic coloring: S, yellow; Cu, blue; Sn, gray.
Cu4SnS4 are likely to be Cu+, but Cu ions of Cu3SnS4 are both Cu+ and Cu2+. As a result, the Cu LMM peak in the CTS 3d electrons (electron configuration of d10; Cu+).

In contrast, Figure 5c shows an oxidized 3d orbital of Cu ions in Cu3SnS4 indicating an incomplete d10 electron configuration (Cu1+−2+).

Based on the above results, the core–shell structures of the CTS SNTs and NTs are depicted in Figure 6a,b. The different structures influence the stability during (de)lithiation as illustrated in Figure 6c. The volume expansion of the Cu4SnS4 core inside the CTS SNTs is larger than that of the Cu3SnS4 core inside the CTS NTs, implying the nanoparticles on the surface are more likely to be peeled off after repeated (de)lithiation as simulated in Figure S8. The decomposition will lead to the collapse of nanotubes and the subsequent inferior cycling performance, which can be seen from the post-mortem morphology. After 200 cycles, the large aggregations composing the CTS NPs (Figure 6d) break into small particles. The weak structure of the CTS SNTs collapses into divided parts, and only a small fraction of the nanotubes can be found as marked in Figure 6e. In contrast, the original nanotube structure remains in the CTS NTs even after 200 cycles (Figure 6f), indicating the durability of the CTS NTs primarily composed of the Cu4SnS4 phase.

To probe the materials characteristics with respect to volume expansion, we calculate the lithiated structures of Cu2SnS3, Cu3SnS4, and Cu4SnS4. The DFT calculations are performed at different stages of lithiation and combined with the basin-hopping algorithm to find low-energy structures. In these calculations, we aim to insert 13 mol of Li after the formation of Li2S; thus, 19 mol of Li are inserted for Cu3SnS4 and 21 mol of Li are inserted for both Cu2SnS3 and Cu4SnS4. The resulting relative volume expansion is shown in Figure 6g as a function of lithiation stages, quantified by the Li/Sn ratio. As indicated in Figure 6i, the volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio so that SnS2 has a smaller volume expansion than SnS.

Figure 6h shows a larger volume expansion of Cu2SnS3 than that of Cu3SnS4 and Cu4SnS4. The resulting relative volume expansion is shown in Figure 6g as a function of lithiation stages, quantified by the Li/Sn ratio. As indicated in Figure 6i, the volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio so that SnS2 has a smaller volume expansion than SnS. Analogously, Figure 6g shows a larger volume expansion of Cu2SnS3 than that of Cu3SnS4 and Cu4SnS4. The resulting relative volume expansion is shown in Figure 6g as a function of lithiation stages, quantified by the Li/Sn ratio. As indicated in Figure 6i, the volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio so that SnS2 has a smaller volume expansion than SnS.

The decomposition will lead to the collapse of nanotubes and the subsequent inferior cycling performance, which can be seen from the post-mortem morphology. After 200 cycles, the large aggregations composing the CTS NPs (Figure 6d) break into small particles. The weak structure of the CTS SNTs collapses into divided parts, and only a small fraction of the nanotubes can be found as marked in Figure 6e. In contrast, the original nanotube structure remains in the CTS NTs even after 200 cycles (Figure 6f), indicating the durability of the CTS NTs primarily composed of the Cu4SnS4 phase.

The volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio. As indicated in Figure 6i, the volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio so that SnS2 has a smaller volume expansion than SnS. Analogously, Figure 6g shows a larger volume expansion of Cu2SnS3 than that of Cu3SnS4 and Cu4SnS4. The resulting relative volume expansion is shown in Figure 6g as a function of lithiation stages, quantified by the Li/Sn ratio. As indicated in Figure 6i, the volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio so that SnS2 has a smaller volume expansion than SnS.

To probe the materials characteristics with respect to volume expansion, we calculate the lithiated structures of Cu2SnS3, Cu3SnS4, and Cu4SnS4. The DFT calculations are performed at different stages of lithiation and combined with the basin-hopping algorithm to find low-energy structures. In these calculations, we aim to insert 13 mol of Li after the formation of Li2S; thus, 19 mol of Li are inserted for Cu3SnS4 and 21 mol of Li are inserted for both Cu2SnS3 and Cu4SnS4. The resulting relative volume expansion is shown in Figure 6g as a function of lithiation stages, quantified by the Li/Sn ratio. As indicated in Figure 6i, the volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio so that SnS2 has a smaller volume expansion than SnS.

The volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio. As indicated in Figure 6i, the volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio so that SnS2 has a smaller volume expansion than SnS. Analogously, Figure 6g shows a larger volume expansion of Cu2SnS3 than that of Cu3SnS4 and Cu4SnS4. The resulting relative volume expansion is shown in Figure 6g as a function of lithiation stages, quantified by the Li/Sn ratio. As indicated in Figure 6i, the volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio so that SnS2 has a smaller volume expansion than SnS.

The hollow hierarchical structure can also be seen from the cross-section SEM images of the nanotubes in the CTS NTs and SNTs (Figure 6c), displaying smooth nanotubes inside and rough nanoparticles outside.

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) peaks are similar in all samples (Figure S7), so the Auger spectra of Cu LMM (Figure 5a) is required to identify the valence states of Cu ions. In terms of chemical stoichiometry, Cu ions in Cu2SnS3 and Cu3SnS4 are likely to be Cu+, but Cu ions of Cu4SnS4 are both Cu+ and Cu2+. As a result, the Cu LMM peak in the CTS SNTs slightly shifts to lower energy than in the CTS NP's and NTs, indicating the existence of Cu3SnS4 with Cu+ on the surface. To definitely understand the oxidation states of Cu ions in Cu2SnS3, Cu3SnS4, and Cu4SnS4, we calculate the partial (projected) density of states (PDOS) using DFT calculations as shown in Figure 5b–d. Cu ions in Cu2SnS3 (Figure 5b) and Cu3SnS4 (Figure 5d) indicate fully and symmetrically filled 3d electrons (electron configuration of d10; Cu+) in Cu d orbitals. Cu4SnS4 (Figure 5c) shows an oxidized 3d orbital of Cu ions in Cu3SnS4 indicating an incomplete d10 electron configuration (Cu1+−2+).

Based on the above results, the core–shell structures of the CTS SNTs and NTs are depicted in Figure 6a,b. The different structures influence the stability during (de)lithiation as illustrated in Figure 6c. The volume expansion of the Cu4SnS4 core inside the CTS SNTs is larger than that of the Cu3SnS4 core inside the CTS NTs, implying the nanoparticles on the surface are more likely to be peeled off after repeated (de)lithiation as simulated in Figure S8. The decomposition will lead to the collapse of nanotubes and the subsequent inferior cycling performance, which can be seen from the post-mortem morphology. After 200 cycles, the large aggregations composing the CTS NPs (Figure 6d) break into small particles. The weak structure of the CTS SNTs collapses into divided parts, and only a small fraction of the nanotubes can be found as marked in Figure 6e. In contrast, the original nanotube structure remains in the CTS NTs even after 200 cycles (Figure 6f), indicating the durability of the CTS NTs primarily composed of the Cu4SnS4 phase.

To probe the materials characteristics with respect to volume expansion, we calculate the lithiated structures of Cu2SnS3, Cu3SnS4, and Cu4SnS4. The DFT calculations are performed at different stages of lithiation and combined with the basin-hopping algorithm to find low-energy structures. In these calculations, we aim to insert 13 mol of Li after the formation of Li2S; thus, 19 mol of Li are inserted for Cu3SnS4 and 21 mol of Li are inserted for both Cu2SnS3 and Cu4SnS4. The resulting relative volume expansion is shown in Figure 6g as a function of lithiation stages, quantified by the Li/Sn ratio. As indicated in Figure 6i, the volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio so that SnS2 has a smaller volume expansion than SnS. Analogously, Figure 6g shows a larger volume expansion of Cu2SnS3 than that of Cu3SnS4 and Cu4SnS4. The resulting relative volume expansion is shown in Figure 6g as a function of lithiation stages, quantified by the Li/Sn ratio. As indicated in Figure 6i, the volume expansion of the Sn–S phase is directly related to the S/Sn ratio so that SnS2 has a smaller volume expansion than SnS.
evolution by ex situ XRD (Figure S9) shows that the diffraction peaks are similar in all samples. Choosing the XRD pattern of the CTS NPs (Figure 6m) as representative, the reduced (111) peak of samples after discharged to 1 V implies gradual decomposition of the Cu2SnS3 phase. After discharged to 0.01 V, no peaks can be found except for those of the Cu substrate, consistent with the amorphous lithiated Li−Cu−Sn and Li−S products as specified by eq 1. After charging to 1.5 V, the Cu2SnS3 peak reappears and becomes the most intensive in the fully charged sample (to 3 V), confirming the gradual reconversion of the Cu2SnS3 phase as described in eq 2.

Discharge:

\[
\begin{align*}
Cu_2SnS_3 + (z + 2y)Li^+ + (z + 2y)e^- & \rightarrow Li_xCu_{y}Sn \\
+ yLi_2S (x = 2, 3, 4; y = 3, 4; 0 \leq z \leq 10) & \quad (1)
\end{align*}
\]

Charge:

\[
\begin{align*}
Li_{x}Cu_{y}Sn + yLi_2S - (z + 2y)Li^+ - (z + 2y)e^- & \rightarrow Cu_{x-y}SnS_y \\
(x = 2, 3, 4; y = 3, 4; 0 \leq z \leq 10) & \quad (2)
\end{align*}
\]

The cycling performance of the CTS NPs, SNTs, and NTs is shown in Figure 7a. The capacity of the CTS NPs rapidly decreases in the first 30 cycles, resulting from the large volume expansion of the compact materials inside the large particles, and then gradually increases after pulverization with more exposed active materials, as confirmed by the post-mortem morphology of samples after 30 cycles (Figure S10). Because of the unstable structure of the CTS SNTs, the capacity drastically decays to below 500 mAh g\(^{-1}\) after merely 15 cycles and then gradually fades upon further cycling. In contrast, after an activation process in the first 30 cycles, no further capacity degradation can be seen in the CTS NTs, and the charge capacity remains at \(774\) mAh g\(^{-1}\) even after 200 cycles. The Coulombic efficiency (CE) of the CTS NPs and NTs is close to 100% after the first several cycles, but the CE of the CTS SNTs is only up to \(\sim 95\)%, resulting from the SEI formation with substantial Li\(^+\) consumption on the large surface area of the divided nanotubes.

The rate capability of the CTS NPs, SNTs, and NTs is compared in Figure 7b, and the theoretical specific current density is calculated in Supplementary Note 1. The CTS NTs exhibit the highest charge capacity at all rates, delivering \(\sim 600\) mAh g\(^{-1}\) at 1C, 67% and 31% more than that of the CTS NPs and SNTs, respectively. After returning to 0.2C, both the CTS NPs and SNTs deliver largely reduced capacity compared to the capacity in the first 10 cycles, while the CTS NTs deliver a comparable charge capacity of \(\sim 740\) mAh g\(^{-1}\). The CTS NTs also deliver a favorable capacity of \(\sim 350\) mAh g\(^{-1}\) with 5C-rate tests after 200 cycles (Figure S11). The superior rate capability and cycling performance of the CTS NTs can be attributed to the hollow and porous nanotube structure, defined by the Cu\(_3\)-SnS\(_4\) core and Cu\(_2\)SnS\(_3\) shell buffering volume expansion.

The initial charge/discharge profiles of the CTS NPs, SNTs, and NTs are shown in Figure 7c. The charge potential plateaus above 1.9 V are related to the regeneration of the CTS phase as presented in eq 2, which is usually unattainable and only observed in high capacity sulfide materials. After the delithiation process, the CTS materials become more electrochemically reversible toward Li and thus exhibit a high and stable capacity. The charge capacity of the CTS NTs above 1.9 V (dashed line) is higher than that of the CTS NPs and SNTs, suggesting the more reversible CTS materials have been activated for lithiation.

Figure 7d–f display the charge/discharge curves of all the CTS nanomaterials. The initial CE of the CTS NPs, SNTs, and NTs is 80.3%, 58.9%, and 82.5%, elucidating the reduced side reactions and well-preserved active materials in the CTS NTs. The charge/discharge plateaus of the CTS NPs and SNTs fade apparently,
while the ones of the CTS NTs remain stable, consistent with the DFT calculations suggesting that Cu$_4$SnS$_4$ has a high potential profile and stability (Figure 6g−i). The capacity retention of the CTS NTs is also the highest among all the samples.

The cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves (0.1 mV s$^{-1}$) of the CTS NPs, SNTs, and NTs (Figure 8a−c) are consistent with the capacity differential plots (Figure S12). The peak labels are based on the reported CV curves of CuS$^{25}$ and SnS$_2$. In the range of 1−1.6 V, only two reduction peaks related to eq 1 can be found in the CTS NPs and SNTs (Figure 8a,b). In contrast, three reduction peaks exist in the CTS NTs (Figure 8c) related to the enhanced synergetic lithiation of Sn and Cu compounds. On one hand, the low formation enthalpy of Cu$_4$SnS$_4$ (Figure 6i) is beneficial to the synergetic lithiation of Sn and Cu to form solid-solution phases. On the other hand, the reduced volume expansion of alloying sulfides can facilitate the synthetic alloying reactions. As a result, the CTS NTs with thermodynamic stability and mitigated volume expansion exhibit the cooperative redox behaviors. Not only is the irreversible capacity of the CTS NTs the smallest, but also the difference between the oxidation and reduction potentials in each marked circle related to polarization is the smallest among all the samples.

After 200 cycles, the resistances of all samples are tested by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) (Figure 8d). The origin intercept for the plot represents the internal resistance ($R_s$), the semicircles in the middle- and low-frequency ranges represent the resistances of the SEI film ($R_f$) and charge transfer interface ($R_{ct}$), respectively, and the oblique line in the low-frequency range represents the Warburg impedance of Li$^+$ diffusion ($Z_w$). The constant phase elements are associated with the capacitances of the SEI film (CPE$_1$) and charge transfer interface (CPE$_2$), respectively. The equivalent circuit model and calculated parameters are shown in the inset of Figure 8d. Apparently, the SEI film and charge transfer resistances of the
CTS NTs are both the smallest among all the samples, indicating the largely reduced electrochemical polarization by the stable nanostructure and the stable thermodynamics as informed by the DFT calculations (Figure 6g-i).

Compared with the previously reported results\(^9\)\textendash\(^\text{12}\) for pure CTS materials for LIBs (Figure S13), the CTS NTs in this work exhibit superior cycling performance and rate capability, which can be fundamentally attributed to (i) the facile synthetic method with uniformly distributed materials and without heteroadditives; (ii) the porous and hollow nanotube structure for alleviating volume expansion; and (iii) the stable core\textendash shell composition of Cu\textsubscript{3-4}Sn\textsubscript{S\textsubscript{4}}@Cu\textsubscript{2}SnS\textsubscript{3}, and the abundant Cu\textsubscript{4}SnS\textsubscript{4} phase with superior properties for durable lithiation.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Self-assembled Cu\textendash Sn\textendash S nanotubes, sub-nanotubes, and nanoparticles are fabricated by a facile gelation\textendash solvothermal method, and the Cu\textendash Sn\textendash S nanotubes exhibit the best electrochemical properties with reduced polarization and enhanced reversible Cu\textendash Sn\textendash S materials. This phenomenon is ascribed to the mitigated volume expansion by the porous nanotube structure, the stable core\textendash shell composition of Cu\textsubscript{3-4}Sn\textsubscript{S\textsubscript{4}}@Cu\textsubscript{2}SnS\textsubscript{3}, and the superior Cu\textsubscript{4}SnS\textsubscript{4} phase as calculated with DFT. The structural changes of different Cu\textendash Sn\textendash S stoichiometries demonstrate that Cu is advantageous for generating Li\textendash Cu\textendash S compounds with a high potential and lithiation capacity. This work provides...
guidance for systematically developing new nanotube materials and theoretically studying advanced ternary electrodes for energy storage.

**METHODS**

**Synthesis of CTS NTs, SNTs, and NPs.** As illustrated in Scheme 1, the CTS NTs and SNTs were synthesized via gelation followed by a solvothermal method using CuCl and CuCl2·2H2O as the Cu sources, respectively. The CTS NPs were prepared via dipping followed by a solvothermal method using CuCl as the Cu source. In the first step, Tu (4 mmol) was dissolved by vigorous stirring in 20 mL of ethanol to form a transparent solution. Meanwhile, CuCl (for CTS NTs) or CuCl2·2H2O (for CTS SNTs) (2 mmol) was mixed with SnCl4·5H2O (1 mmol) by vigorous stirring in 20 mL of ethanol to form a uniform solution. In the second step, the above Tu and chloride solutions were mixed promptly, producing a [Cu(Tu)2]Cl complex and converting into a white gel after vigorous stirring as described in eqs S1 and S2. In the third step, the CTS NTs/SNTs gels and the CTS NPs solution were transferred to three Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclaves and kept at 160 °C for 15 h. After naturally cooling to ambient temperature, the black precipitates in the autoclaves were centrifuged, washed with deionized water and ethanol several times, and vacuum-dried at 60 °C for 12 h. In the last step, the final products were annealed in a tube furnace at 400 °C for 4 h under Ar gas flow with a heating rate of 3 °C min⁻¹. The four steps resulted in producing composites of Cu2SnS3, Cu3SnS4, and Cu4SnS4 with different ratios and nanostructures as specified in eqs S3, S4, and S5.

**Characterization.** A Quanta FEG 650 SEM was used for imaging and detecting EDS. A JEOL 2101F TEM was used for checking nano-scale morphology, crystal structure, and elemental distribution. XRD patterns were obtained by using a Rigaku MiniFlex 600 diffractometer. Rietveld refinement analysis was performed using the HighScore Plus software based on the atomic structures of Cu2SnS3 [JCPDS 89-2877], Cu2SnS3, [JCPDS 36-0217], and Cu3SnS4, [JCPDS 71-0129], with a subsequent relaxation using DFT. XPS and Auger spectra were obtained by using a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD instrument. Surface areas and porosity were obtained from N₂-sorption isotherms measured at 77 K (Quantachrome, Nova 2200e).

**Electrochemical Measurements.** The CTS NPs, SNTs, and NTs were dispersed in deionized water with 90 kDa carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) binder and Super P Li carbon to form an 8:1: weight ratio slurry. The slurry was coated on Cu foils using a notch bar and dried at 120 °C for 12 h in a vacuum oven. The mass loading of each electrode was 0.3–0.5 mg cm⁻². Coin cells (CR2032) were assembled in an argon-filled glovebox with lithium foil anodes, polypropylene membranes (Celgard 2400) separators, and 1.0 M LiPF₆ in ethylene carbonate/diethyl carbonate (1:1 v/v) electrolyte. The galvanostatic charge/discharge tests were conducted on a battery tester (Arbin, BT 2143) between 0.01 and 3 V. EIS plots were obtained using a potentiostat (CHI 608D) with an amplitude potential of 5 mV from 10⁻⁴ to 10⁻¹ Hz.

**Calculation Details.** To calculate the electronic structure, volume expansion, lithiation potentials formation enthalpy, and structural changes upon lithiation of the CTS materials, spin-polarized DFT with a generalized gradient approximation parametrized by Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) as implemented in the Vienna *ab initio* simulation package were applied. Core electrons were described within the projector-augmented wave framework, and valence electrons were expanded in a plane-wave basis set. The kinetic energy cutoff of the plane-wave basis set was set to 500 eV for structural relaxation and 300 eV for basin-hopping optimization. The k-point meshes for the integration of the Brillouin zone were determined by convergence tests achieving a total energy per zone being 2.0 meV. For all calculations, both ionic positions and cell parameters were fully relaxed. To describe the strong correlation between 3d-orbital electrons of the transition metals, a Hubbard U correction was adopted, where a U_eff value of 9.0 eV for Cu was chosen based upon previous work. Four f.u.’s of Cu₂SnS₃/Cu₃SnS₄, and two f.u.’s of Cu₄SnS₄ were used for structural relaxation of interstitial lithiation. Four f.u.’s of Cu₂SnS₃, Cu₃SnS₄, and Cu₄SnS₄ were used for global optimization calculations with the basin-hopping algorithm.
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