A highly efficient double-hierarchical sulfur host for advanced lithium–sulfur batteries†
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Li–S batteries have attracted enormous interest due to their potentially high energy density, non-toxicity and the low cost of sulfur. The main challenges of sulfur cathodes are the short cycling life and limited power density caused by the low conductivity of sulfur and dissolution of Li polysulfides. Here we design a new double-hierarchical sulfur host to address these problems. Hierarchical carbon spheres (HCSs), constructed from building blocks of hollow carbon nanobubbles for loading sulfur, are sealed within a thin, polar MoS2 coating composed of ultrathin nanosheets. Experimental and theoretical studies show a strong absorption of the MoS2 nanoshell to polysulfides, and the excellent stability of the MoS2 nanosheets after the adsorption of polysulfides. Moreover, MoS2 promotes the electrochemical redox kinetics in Li–S batteries. Benefiting from the unique hierarchical, hollow and compositional characteristics of the host, the S/MoS2@HCS composite electrode shows a high capacity of 1048 mAh g⁻¹ at 0.2C, good rate capacity and long cycling life with a slow capacity decay of 0.06% per cycle.

Introduction

After two decades, traditional rechargeable lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) based on electrochemical intercalation reactions face limitations in energy density (420 Wh kg⁻¹) and high materials cost, limiting the ever-growing demand for LIBs in applications including large-scale energy storage, vehicles and advanced portable electronics. Recently, lithium–sulfur (Li–S) batteries, as a promising candidate for next generation electrochemical energy storage systems, have received attention due to their high theoretical specific capacity (1673 mA h g⁻¹) and energy density (2600 Wh kg⁻¹), together with the natural abundance and low cost of sulfur. Despite the overwhelming advantages, Li–S batteries also have several significant challenges that impede their practical applications, including: (i) the insulating nature of sulfur and lithium polysulfides results in the poor utilization of sulfur, giving rise to a low rate capability; (ii) dissolution and loss of intermediate lithium polysulfides leads to rapid capacity decay and low coulombic efficiency; and (iii) high volumetric expansion (~80%) in the lithiation process can cause pulverization and structural damage of the electrode. In addition, the slow redox kinetics of polysulfide conversion reactions is a serious problem.

Extensive research efforts have been devoted to the design of carbon hosts with unique structures for improving the electrochemical performance and the conductivity of the active material. Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are commonly used as precursors for synthesizing porous carbonaceous materials. The carbon hosts made from MOFs can significantly improve the conductivity of sulfur, leading to an enhancement in the specific capacity. However, capacity decay and low coulombic efficiency still occur with these carbon hosts due to their nonpolar nature and they are not able to efficiently trap lithium polysulfides. According to experimental and theoretical studies, some polar materials are believed to form strong bonds with lithium polysulfides, thus effectively preventing their dissolution within the electrolyte. Several polar materials including metal oxides (MnO2, TiO2, SiO2), metal chlorides, transition metal disulfides (TiS2, NiS2, CoS2, WS2) and polymer materials have been used to decorate carbons, enabling a good combination of conductivity enhancement of the whole electrode and better absorption of lithium polysulfides. In addition, considerable efforts have been devoted to researching the electrocatalysis of sulfur hosts to enhance the electrochemical kinetics of redox reactions.
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Hierarchical structures constructed from low-dimensional nanostructured building blocks are a favored architecture as a sulfur host for Li-S batteries, thanks to their inherent advantages including an enlarged contact area between electrode and electrolyte and large pore volume. The composition of these hierarchical structures plays an important role in the parameters that influence the electrochemical performance of sulfur electrodes, including sulfur loading, absorption of lithium polysulfides and the conductivity of the electrode. As carbon is one of the lightest elements, high-conductivity hierarchical hollow carbonaceous materials should be ideal sulfur hosts. Small amounts of heavy metal sulfides can then be introduced to modify the carbon host, to prevent lithium polysulfide diffusion and improve long-term stability. The challenge is to develop a double-hierarchical hybrid host composed of different types of functional nanosubunits, for advanced Li-S batteries.

Here we introduce a new and efficient double-hierarchical sulfur host in which hierarchical carbon spheres, constructed from building blocks of hollow carbon nanobubbles for loading sulfur, are sealed by a thin polar MoS$_2$ coating that is composed of ultrathin nanosheets (MoS$_2$@HCS hybrid). The synthesis of the hybrid is schematically depicted in Scheme 1a. A well defined Ni-MOF precursor was first fabricated via a facile hydrothermal method in the presence of nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate and 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid in N,N-dimethylformamide at 150 °C. The Ni-MOFs were then converted into HCSs through a simple combination of carbonization in Ar at 800 °C, and Fe$^{3+}$ treatment. Next, a layer of MoS$_2$ nanosheets was coated onto the surface of the HCSs using a solvothermal strategy. After further annealing in Ar(90%)/H$_2$(10%) at 700 °C, a highly crystalline MoS$_2$@HCS composite was obtained. Finally, sulfur was steamed into the MoS$_2$@HCS host by a melting–diffusion process to form the S/MoS$_2$@HCS composite.

HCSs as sulfur hosts exhibit several noteworthy advantages for use in Li-S batteries, as shown in Scheme 1b. The hollow carbon bubbles can not only afford space for loading sulfur but also accommodate the large volumetric expansion of sulfur upon lithiation. Furthermore, nanostructured HCS subunits have excellent electrical conductivity which reduces the resistance of electron and ion transport during the charging–discharging process, thereby maximizing the utilization of sulfur. The weak interaction between the polar intermediate polysulfides and nonpolar carbons, however, undermines their practical application as polysulfide traps. A layer of MoS$_2$ constructed from ultrathin nanosheets is introduced to address this problem (Scheme 1c). The polar MoS$_2$ nanosheets embedded throughout the hierarchical carbon spheres effectively adsorb polysulfides, decreasing the polysulfide shuttling effect and prolonging the lifetime of the electrode material. Moreover, the nonpolar carbon host is inert to binding.

**Scheme 1** (a) Illustration of the synthesis of the S/MoS$_2$@HCS composite. (b and c) Illustrations of the advantages of the S/MoS$_2$@HCS composite over the S/HCS composite during lithiation.
polysulfides, which results in a low coverage of reactive intermediates, corresponding to sluggish reaction kinetics. As for the MoS2@HCS composite host, the conversion reaction of short-chain and long-chain can be faster than that of nonpolymer carbon, thanks to MoS2 accelerating the electrochemical redox kinetics. The S/MoS2@HCS composite exhibits remarkable electrochemical performance with a high specific capacity, excellent cycle life and good coulombic efficiency.

Results and discussion
Preparation and characterization of the double-hierarchical sulfur host

The morphology of the Ni-MOF precursor spheres was characterized by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). As shown in Fig. 1a and b (see ESI†), the Ni-MOF precursor spheres have an average diameter of 1.5 μm. TEM observations (Fig. 1c and d†) confirm that the Ni-MOF precursor spheres have rather smooth surfaces, which is consistent with the FESEM result. X-ray diffraction (XRD) (Fig. S2†) shows the crystal structure and phase purity of the Ni-MOF precursor spheres, indicating successful synthesis of the Ni-btc (btc = benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate) MOF precursor.†

After annealing and removing the Ni species, an interesting evolution from smooth Ni-MOF precursor spheres to looser HCSs is observed. As depicted by the FESEM image in Fig. 1a, the overall morphologies of the HCSs are preserved, whereas the size is slightly reduced to a diameter of 1.1 μm. High-magnification FESEM images of the HCS structure, as shown in Fig. 1b, show that the surface of the spheres becomes rough, apparently with some holes. Furthermore, a large number of hollow carbon subunits are exposed. A sonication treatment was employed to break up the carbon spheres to observe their internal structure. It is clear that the carbon spheres are composed of hollow carbon nanosubunits, which are desirable for loading sulfur (Fig. 1c). The hollow carbon spheres were further investigated by TEM (Fig. 1g), revealing a rough surface. A closer examination of an individual carbon sphere (Fig. 1h) shows the hollow spherical structure. High-resolution TEM (HRTEM) (Fig. 1i) shows the inner structure of the MoS2@HCS composite, indicating the successful diffusion of sulfur into the interior of the MoS2@HCS composite, facilitating by heating to 200 °C for 20 min. A high-magnification FESEM image reveals that the double-hierarchical sulfur hosts retain their structure after sulfur loading (Fig. 2b). An AFM analysis was performed to evaluate the thickness of the MoS2 nanosheets, as shown in Fig. 2c and S7† Some nanosheets can be split from the MoS2@HCS structure with sonication. The selected area of the nanosheets indicates that the thickness of the MoS2 nanosheets is 1–3 nm. The element mapping images (Fig. S8†) clearly show that C, Mo and S are uniformly distributed in the MoS2@HCS composite.

TEM analysis shows that the contrast of the inner space of the MoS2@HCS composite becomes darker and that the MoS2 nanosheets are maintained after loading sulfur (Fig. 2d and e). Representative TEM images (Fig. 2f) show that the sulfur diffuses into the inner cavity of the HCS. Nitrogen sorption measurements were carried out to probe the textual properties of the HCS, MoS2@HCS and S/MoS2@HCS composite (Fig. S9 and Table S1†). The pore size distribution of the HCSs indicates that the material has a mesoporous structure with an average pore size of 2 nm (Fig. S9f). Furthermore, the HCSs have a large specific surface area of 783 m2 g−1 and a pore volume of 2.4 cm3 g−1, which is suitable for sulfur loading. After anchoring the MoS2 nanosheets, the pore size distribution peak at 2 nm decreases. Meanwhile, the specific surface area and the pore volume of the MoS2@HCS composite reduces to 230 m2 g−1 and 0.66 cm3 g−1, respectively. After fusing sulfur into the hollow carbon matrix, the pore size peak at 2 nm decreases dramatically, and the BET surface area and pore volume further decrease to 31 m2 g−1 and 0.04 cm3 g−1, respectively, indicating
that sulfur has occupied the hollow space in the MoS₂@HCS composite. The XRD results for the S/MoS₂@HCS composite show that the diffraction peaks of the HCSs and MoS₂ become weak, and strong peaks at around 25°, corresponding to sulfur, are observed in the composite (Fig. 2g). TGA shows that the mass loading of sulfur is ~70 wt% in the MoS₂@HCS composite (Fig. 2h).

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to identify the bonding characteristics and to obtain an accurate surface composition of the MoS₂@HCS and S/MoS₂@HCS composites. Fig. S10a† shows the XPS spectrum of the MoS₂@HCS composites; the peaks located at 161.9 and 163.1 eV belong to the S 2p_{3/2} and S 2p_{1/2} of divalent sulfide ions (S²⁻), respectively, and are consistent with the reported XPS results for MoS₂. The spectra of the S/MoS₂@HCS composite show two doublet peaks located at 163.8 and 165 eV (Fig. 2i), corresponding to the S 2p_{3/2} and S 2p_{1/2} of elemental sulfur (S₈), respectively. The Mo 3d and C 1s spectra from the S/MoS₂@HCS composite are shown in Fig. S10b and c.† The binding energies at 226.8, 228, 229.3 and 232.4 eV in the Mo 3d spectrum are ascribed to S 2s of sulfur, divalent sulfide ions (S²⁻), Mo 2d₅/₂ and Mo 2d₃/₂, respectively. The C 1s spectrum can be split into two peaks located at 284.5 and 285.5 eV, corresponding to C–C and C–O–C, respectively.

**Electrochemical properties of the S/MoS₂@HCS composite**

In order to investigate the interactions between MoS₂ nanosheets and polysulfides, a visual discrimination analysis of the
HCSs and MoS2 nanosheets was performed. Lithium polysulfides (taking Li2S4 as a representative Li$_x$S$_n$ species) were synthesized and dissolved in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) to form a reddish brown solution, as shown in Fig. 3a. The color of the reddish brown solution is maintained after adding the HCSs, however, the solution turns colorless after adding the MoS2 nanosheets and then waiting 0.5 h, revealing the capability of the material to adsorb lithium polysulfides. UV-Vis absorption analysis was performed to further study the interactions between the MoS2 nanosheets and polysulfides. As shown in Fig. S11,† the peak located at 420 nm can be attributed to the S$_4^{2-}$/C0 species. Compared with those of the pristine Li2S4 solution, the intensities of the absorption peaks decrease after adding the HCSs and MoS2. Meanwhile, the absorbance intensity of the Li2S4 solution with MoS2 is much weaker than that with HCSs, indicating the strong interactions between polysulfides and MoS2.

The CV curves (Fig. S12†) of the pure MoS2@HCS composite electrode show no peaks, indicating no contribution to the capacity. Fig. 3c shows the galvanostatic charge–discharge profiles of the S/MoS2@HCS composite electrode at current densities ranging from 0.2C to 2.0C. The two distinct voltage plateaus in the discharge process can still be observed at 2C; the voltage plateaus shift subtly as the current density increases from 0.2 to 2C, indicating an ohmic overpotential at high current operation.

The rate capability of the S/MoS2@HCS composite electrode was evaluated by cycling at various current densities ranging from 0.2 to 2C (Fig. 3d). The S/MoS2@HCS composite electrode delivers reversible capacities of 1047, 902, 775 and 700 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.2, 0.5, 1 and 2C, respectively, demonstrating the excellent electronic and ionic transport properties of the electrode. When the current density was reverted to 0.2C, a high capacity was recovered, indicating the reversible nature of the electrode. The prolonged cycling performance was also evaluated in a potential window of 1.7–2.8 V at 0.5C. As shown in Fig. 3e, the S/MoS2@HCS composite electrode maintains a high capacity retention of 643 mA h g$^{-1}$ after 500 cycles. More importantly, the coulombic efficiency is higher than 98% in each cycle and the capacity decay is only 0.06% per cycle.
showing that the MoS$_2$@HCS host prevents the dissolution of lithium polysulfides within the electrolyte, from the hollow carbon cells. For comparison, the S/HCS electrode delivers a slightly smaller discharge capacity of 903 mA h g$^{-1}$ in the first cycle and suffers rapid capacity decay.

Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) of the S/MoS$_2$@HCS electrode at various cycling states were also measured, as presented in Fig. S13.$^*$ The semicircle in the high-frequency region and the long, inclined line in the low-frequency region correspond to the charge-transfer process and Warburg diffusion process, respectively. From the Nyquist plots, the charge-transfer resistance decreases dramatically after 3 cycles, which can be attributed to the activation process. In subsequent cycles, the charge-transfer resistances only show a slight increase, indicating that the irreversible deposition of insoluble reduction products on the surface of the S/MoS$_2$@HCS electrode is not serious. After 30 cycles, the charge-transfer resistance is mostly maintained, exhibiting the stable composite structure of the double-hierarchical sulfur host. The corresponding separator also rectifies the dissolution of polysulfides, as shown in Fig. 3f. The separator of the S/HCS cell (Fig. S14g†), the surface of the cycled lithium metal foil from the S/MoS$_2$@HCS cell shows a slightly uneven surface without obvious dendrite growth (Fig. S14d†). Low intensity signals corresponding to lithium sulfide were observed in the elemental mapping and EDS spectra, suggesting that the MoS$_2$ layer effectively prevents the polysulfide dissolution within the electrolyte. For the S/HCS cell (Fig. S14g†), the surface of the cycled lithium metal foil is looser and rougher than its counterpart from the S/MoS$_2$@HCS cell. The corresponding EDS analysis shows that a lot of lithium sulfide can be formed, suggesting a weak interaction between HCSs and polysulfides in the S/HCS cell.

To further understand the mechanism by which MoS$_2$@HCS prevents the diffusion of lithium polysulfides, we performed first-principles calculations to study the interaction between the lithium sulfide species and MoS$_2$. DFT calculations were

Fig. 3 (a) Polysulfide entrapment by the HCSs and MoS$_2$ nanosheets. (b) CV curves of the S/MoS$_2$@HCS composite electrode at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$. (c) Voltage profiles and (d) rate capacities of the S/MoS$_2$@HCS composite electrode at various current densities ranging from 0.2 to 2C. (e) Prolonged cycling performance of the S/MoS$_2$@HCS composite and S/HCS electrodes at 0.5C. (f) The separator of the S/HCS and S/MoS$_2$@HCS composite after the 100th cycle in the voltage range 1.7–2.8 V. (g and h) FESEM images of the MoS$_2$@HCS composite after cycling.
performed using the Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package\textsuperscript{42–44} with the PBE\textsuperscript{45} generalized gradient approximation functional.\textsuperscript{46} The projector augmented wave method was used to describe the core electrons.\textsuperscript{47,48} The valence electrons were described by a plane-wave basis with a cut-off energy of 400 eV. All slab structures included three layers, where the bottom two layers were fixed and the top layer was allowed to relax along with the supported Li\textsubscript{1}S\textsubscript{n} species until all atomic forces were less than 0.01 eV Å\textsuperscript{-1}. The periodic slabs were separated along the z direction by a vacuum gap of 20 Å. The binding energies ($E_b$) of the Li\textsubscript{1}S\textsubscript{n} species with MoS\textsubscript{2} were calculated as

$$E_b = E_{\text{Li}_1\text{S}_n} + E_{\text{MoS}_2} - E_{\text{Li}_1\text{S}_n + \text{MoS}_2}$$

With this definition, a positive value of $E_b$ implies that the binding interaction is favored. Fig. 4a shows the stable adsorption configurations for Li\textsubscript{1}S\textsubscript{n} molecules with monolayer MoS\textsubscript{2}. The corresponding binding energies of the Li\textsubscript{1}S\textsubscript{n} species over the MoS\textsubscript{2} substrate and HCS substrate are plotted in Fig. 4b. In the initial unlithiated stage, S\textsubscript{8} is adsorbed on the MoS\textsubscript{2} substrate with a binding energy of 0.05 eV. As lithiation begins, the binding energies of the Li\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{8}, Li\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{6}, Li\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{4}, Li\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{2} and Li\textsubscript{2}S species on the MoS\textsubscript{2} surface are calculated to be 0.10, 0.22, 0.32, 0.65 and 0.87 eV, respectively; higher than the corresponding binding energies on the HCS substrate. The enhanced binding of the MoS\textsubscript{2} substrate originates from the strong chemical interaction with the Li atoms in Li\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{n}. Therefore, as the sulfur host, the MoS\textsubscript{2}@HCS can inhibit the dissolution of polysulfides more effectively than HCSs.

In order to explore the stability of the MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets after the adsorption of polysulfides, the elastic constants of MoS\textsubscript{2} along the $C_{11}$ axial direction and $C_{12}$ axial direction, with different amounts of Li\textsubscript{2}S, are shown in Fig. 4c. The bare MoS\textsubscript{2} shows elastic constants of 400 GPa and 100 GPa along the $C_{11}$ and $C_{12}$ directions, respectively, indicating that MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets are stiff and strong before lithiation. Surprisingly, the elastic constants of the MoS\textsubscript{2} nanosheets become higher after adsorption of Li\textsubscript{2}S. Moreover, the elastic constants of MoS\textsubscript{2} along two axial directions increase as the amount of adsorbed Li\textsubscript{2}S increases. These calculations show that the MoS\textsubscript{2} nanoshell, attached on the double-hierarchical sulfur host, is stiff and strong before lithiation, and becomes stiffer and stronger after lithiation. These results suggest that the double-hierarchical sulfur host is well-structured to be a good sulfur host with an excellent cycle life.

The accelerated polysulfide redox kinetics were confirmed by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and galvanostatic discharge–charge tests. As shown in Fig. 5a, both the pure S and MoS\textsubscript{2} + S electrodes show two characteristic reduction peaks and one oxidation peak. The CV curve of the MoS\textsubscript{2} + S electrodes shows a distinctive shift in the redox peaks when compared to those of the pure S electrode. Fig. 5c shows the comparison of peak
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**Fig. 4** (a) Schematics of various polysulfide conformations on MoS\textsubscript{2}. (b) Binding energies for Li\textsubscript{1}S\textsubscript{n} species at six different lithiation stages (S\textsubscript{8}, Li\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{8}, Li\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{6}, Li\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{4}, Li\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{2}, Li\textsubscript{2}S) on MoS\textsubscript{2} and HCSs. (c) Elastic constants of the MoS\textsubscript{2} $x$Li\textsubscript{2}S nanosheets with different amounts of Li\textsubscript{2}S. (d) Atomic structures of the MoS\textsubscript{2} $x$Li\textsubscript{2}S nanosheets.
potentials for the two electrodes. For the pure S electrode, two reduction peaks at 1.91 V and 2.18 V and one oxidation peak at 2.56 V were observed. After incorporation of MoS$_2$, the reduction peaks shift to 2.02 V and 2.28 V and an oxidation peak located at 2.56 V can be observed, indicating faster redox reactions on the MoS$_2$ + S electrode. Comparing the potentials in discharge–charge curves (Fig. 5b), it is apparent that the MoS$_2$ + S electrode possesses a lower polarization value (188 mV) than that of the pure S electrode (289 mV). Moreover, the specific capacity of the MoS$_2$ + S electrode is much higher than that of the pure S electrode, which further confirms the boost in the kinetic processes of the MoS$_2$ + S electrode. These findings demonstrate that MoS$_2$, as a robust material in Li–S batteries, plays an important role in the adsorption of polysulfides and electrocatalysis. Benefiting from the integrated structural and compositional advantages, our work is able to deliver a better cycling stability compared to other electrode materials (Fig. 5d).

**Conclusions**

In summary, we report an efficient double-hierarchical sulfur host in which hierarchical carbon spheres, constructed from building blocks of hollow carbon nanobubbles, are sealed by a thin polar MoS$_2$ coating that is composed of ultrathin nanosheets (MoS$_2$@HCS hybrid). This novel double-hierarchical sulfur host can maximize the sulfur loading and efficiently confine lithium polysulfide for long-term stability by the synergistic effects within the structure. The hollow carbon matrix enables high electrical conductivity for fast electron access and an enhanced sulfur utilization. Moreover, the MoS$_2$ nanosheets effectively enhance the polysulfide redox reactions. Benefiting from the unique hierarchical, hollow, and compositional advantages, we achieve a high specific capacity of 1048 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.2C, and a slow capacity decay of 0.06% per cycle over 500 cycles. This work may provide new avenues for the development of high-efficiency sulfur cathodes with high energy densities and ultralong lifetimes.
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**Fig. 5** (a) CV curves, (b) discharge–charge curves and (c) the comparison of the peak voltages of the pure S and MoS$_2$ + S electrodes. (d) A comparison of the decay rate per cycle with that of other Li–S cathode materials reported in the literature recently.
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