Hydrogen Peroxide Synthesis via Electrocatalytic Water Oxidation on sp\(^3\) and sp\(^2\) Carbon Materials Mediated by Carbonates and Bicarbonates
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**ABSTRACT:** H\(_2\)O\(_2\) production on two typical sp\(^3\) and sp\(^2\) carbon materials [boron-doped diamond (BDD) and graphite] via the electrochemical two-electron water oxidation reaction (2e\(^-\) WOR) mediated by carbonates and bicarbonates was measured and compared. The results show that BDD exhibits superior selectivity toward the 2e\(^-\) WOR compared to graphite in both KHCO\(_3\) and K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) aqueous solutions. The highest Faraday efficiency (FE) for BDD in KHCO\(_3\) is \(\sim 20.1\%\) for 15 min of chronoamperometry measurement among all the potentials tested, while for graphite, it is \(\sim 5.9\%\). Similarly, BDD achieves a maximum FE of \(\sim 41.2\%\) in K\(_2\)CO\(_3\), while the highest FE of graphite is only \(\sim 10.2\%\). Carbonate is more beneficial to the generation of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) than bicarbonate for both BDD and graphite. Infrared spectroscopy analysis discovered that the adsorption of carbonate on the catalyst is stronger than that of bicarbonate, and the adsorption of carbonate increases with potential, while that of bicarbonate does not. Density functional theory calculations verify the stronger adsorption of carbonate on BDD and graphite than that of bicarbonate and suggest that the competitive adsorption of carbonates, bicarbonates, water, and hydroxide ions on the surface of catalysts probably essentially affects the performance of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) production.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\)) is an important chemical, widely used in chemical synthesis, pulp bleaching, water treatment, and the textile industry.\(^1^-^3\) Compared with the traditional anthraquinone method, electrochemical synthesis of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) has the advantages of a simple synthesis process, nontoxic byproducts, in situ synthesis, and direct utilization, especially the electric energy can be provided by photovoltaic devices.\(^4\) There are two types of electrochemical synthesis of H\(_2\)O\(_2\), namely, cathodic two-electron oxygen reduction reaction (2e\(^-\)orr, eq 1) and anodic two-electron water oxidation reaction (2e\(^-\)wor, eq 2). H\(_2\)O\(_2\) synthesis via the 2e\(^-\)orr has been extensively researched in recent years.\(^5^-^7\) Pure aqueous H\(_2\)O\(_2\) solutions up to 20% have been achieved using a solid electrolyte via the electrochemical 2e\(^-\)orr.\(^7\) However, the drawbacks of this approach are that it requires a constant supply of oxygen gas and sacrifices the other reduction product on the cathode, hydrogen, a green energy source with high energy density. Compared to the 2e\(^-\)orr, the technique through the 2e\(^-\)wor can obtain H\(_2\)O\(_2\) solely from water.\(^8^-^11\) Furthermore, the overall efficiency of electrochemical H\(_2\)O\(_2\) production can be improved by forming a series electrolytic cell with anodic 2e\(^-\)wor and cathodic 2e\(^-\)orr.\(^12\)

\[
\text{O}_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2O_2, \ E^0 = 0.68 \text{ V vs RHE} \quad (1)
\]

\[
2H_2O \rightleftharpoons H_2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^-, \ E^0 = 1.76 \text{ V vs RHE} \quad (2)
\]

Metal oxides and carbon materials are the main anode materials for producing H\(_2\)O\(_2\) via the 2e\(^-\)wor. Generally, a suitable anode material for the 2e\(^-\)wor should have some prerequisite properties, including good stability under the high oxidation potential in aqueous solution, excellent electrical conductivity, and sluggish kinetics for oxygen evolution reaction.\(^12,13\) A variety of metal oxides have been developed for H\(_2\)O\(_2\) generation via the 2e\(^-\)wor owing to their excellent stability under the oxidation conditions, for instance, TiO\(_2\), SnO\(_2\),\(^14,15\) Sb\(_2\)O\(_3\),\(^16\) ZnO,\(^19\) BiVO\(_4\),\(^14,20,21\) CaSnO\(_3\),\(^22\) CuWO\(_4\),\(^23\) and LaAlO\(_3\).\(^13\) However, due to the poor conductivity of oxides, the reaction current density is relatively low, which leads to an unsatisfactory production rate of H\(_2\)O\(_2\).\(^2,17\) Carbon materials generally possess relatively high electrical conductivity and exhibit acceptable activity, selectivity, and stability for electrochemical H\(_2\)O\(_2\) synthesis though the
2e⁻ WOR. Mavrikis and coworkers systematically investigated the electrocatalytic production of H₂O₂ by boron-doped diamond (BDD) electrodes in bicarbonate- or carbonate-based aqueous electrolytes. In fact, BDD has been used as the anode to electrooxidize sulfuric acid to produce peroxodisulfuric acid which further hydrolyzes to produce H₂O₂. Commercial carbon materials such as carbon fiber paper, carbon felt, carbon cloth, glassy carbon, and carbon gas diffusion layers have been utilized as anodes for H₂O₂ synthesis through the 2e⁻ WOR. Yang’s research group used graphite electrodes for electrocatalytic production of H₂O₂ and realized that the anode produced H₂O₂ while the cathode produced hydrogen simultaneously. In addition, researchers have used a carbon fiber material as a substrate coated with metal oxides for electrocatalytic production of H₂O₂. It is generally accepted that these two anions act as catalytic mediums in the formation of H₂O₂ through the 2e⁻ WOR and that carbonates and bicarbonates are first oxidized to percarbonate species (HCO₃⁻ and C₂O₄²⁻) and then hydrolyzed to H₂O₂. Zheng’s group confirmed the role of bicarbonate ions as a medium in electrocatalytic H₂O₂ synthesis for bismuth vanadate by using a rotating ring disk and spectroscopic experiments. Mavrikis et al. found that the electrolyte dominated by carbonate ions is more conducive to the electrochemical generation of H₂O₂ than the solution dominated by bicarbonate ions for BDD electrodes. Gill et al. investigated the effects of the ion concentration and molar fraction of bicarbonate and carbonate ions on the activity and selectivity of metal oxides for electrocatalytic H₂O₂ production. Despite these efforts, the mechanism for the phenomenon that the electrolyte with carbonate as the main component can promote the formation of H₂O₂ more than the electrolyte with bicarbonate as the main component is ambiguous. Moreover, the relationship between the adsorption of carbonates and bicarbonates on the catalyst surface and the mechanism of the catalytic performance is still not clear.

In this work, two conventional sp³ and sp² carbon materials, namely, BDD and graphite, are selected as anodes to explore the performance and reaction mechanism of electrocatalytic H₂O₂ production in carbonate-based and bicarbonate-based electrolytes. In order to better reflect the intrinsic properties of the material, we synthesized diamond single crystals with...
different boron impurity concentrations and chose commercial graphite sheets as electrodes for electrochemical measurements. The $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ generation performances of these two materials in potassium bicarbonate ($\text{KHCO}_3$) and potassium carbonate ($\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$) aqueous solutions were tested. The adsorption properties of carbones and bicarbonates on the surface of the catalysts were investigated by infrared (IR) spectroscopy and density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Our work explores the differences in the catalytic properties of sp$^2$ and sp$^3$ carbon materials and deepens our understanding of the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ generation mechanism mediated by carbones and bicarbonates.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Material Synthesis and Characterization.** Graphite sheets (99.99%) were obtained from commercial sources and used without further treatment. The diamonds used in this work were BDD large single crystals grown by the high-pressure and high-temperature (HPHT) method. The BDDs were grown in a metallic catalyst (FeNi alloy) system with boron powder addition (purity 99.9%) under HPHT conditions using a China-type large-volume cubic high-pressure apparatus (CHPA, SPD-6x4100). The synthesis conditions were as follows: pressures $\sim$5.6 GPa, temperatures from 1350$\sim$1400 $^\circ\text{C}$, and synthesis time 40$\sim$70 h. The schematic diagram of the press anvil is shown in Figure 1a. The sample assembly is shown in Figure 1b. After the experiment, the residual solvent in the samples was removed with diluted HNO$_3$ ($\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and HNO$_3$ 3:1, v/v), and the graphite was removed from the surface of the crystals by a hot mixed solution of H$_2$SO$_4$ and HNO$_3$ (3:1, v/v). The detailed growth assembly used in this work has been described in our previous work. Then, the samples were cut to obtain the required crystal shape (Figure 1c). The crystalline phases of synthetic diamonds were characterized by Raman spectroscopy (Figure 1d) and Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy (Figure 1e). FT-IR spectroscopy was also used to detect the adsorbed species on the catalyst surface. The added amount of boron during the synthesis of BDD is 0, 1, 3, and 5 wt%, and these samples are denoted as 0-BDD, 1-BDD, 3-BDD, and 5-BDD, respectively. Optical images of as-synthesized BDD crystals are shown in Figure S2. Obviously, as the boron addition increases, the color of the crystal gradually darkens. FT-IR spectra of BDD samples with different added amounts of boron are shown in Figure 1e. For 0-BDD, it is a typical IR spectrum of $\text{sp}^2$-type diamond synthesized under HPHT. When boron is not added, the IR spectrum exhibits obvious diamond characteristic peaks located at 1970, 2030, and 2160 cm$^{-1}$. Meanwhile, there are strong absorption peaks around 1130 cm$^{-1}$, which are the characteristics of C-centre nitrogen in diamond (isolated substitutional nitrogen atoms). With the introduction of boron impurities, for 1-BDD, 3-BDD, and 5-BDD, only a peak at 1290 cm$^{-1}$ that was gradually becoming stronger was present, which corresponded to a single phonon absorption peak of boron impurity in diamond.\textsuperscript{40,42,43}

We compared the Raman spectra of diamond and graphite (Figure S3). The lattice of diamond is a tetrahedral structure, and the carbon atoms in the lattice are combined with each other by sp$^3$ bonds, so the Raman characteristic peak of diamond appears at 1330 cm$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{44} The carbon atoms in the lattice of the graphite phase are bonded by sp$^2$ bonds. The crystalline graphite has two typical Raman characteristics, namely, the G peak at around 1580 cm$^{-1}$ and the G' peak at about 2718 cm$^{-1}$. The amorphous carbon components of the sp$^2$ phase are generally located in the scattering broadband of 1350$\sim$1600 cm$^{-1}$, and the defect D peak is about 1350 cm$^{-1}$, and the D' peak is about 1620 cm$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{45}

**Electrochemical Testing and $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ Concentration Analysis.** A CHI 760E workstation was used to conduct electrochemical tests. An H-type electrolytic cell separated with a Nafion ion exchange membrane was used for electrocatalytic reactions. A Pt sheet was used as the counter electrode, Ag/AgCl (in saturated KCl) was used as the reference electrode, and BDD or a graphite sheet was used as the working electrode. The reaction area of the working electrode was 0.2 cm$^2$. 2 $\text{M KHCO}_3$ and 2 $\text{M K}_2\text{CO}_3$ aqueous solutions were used as the electrolytes, with pH values of 8.3 and 12, respectively. The solution volume of the anode electrolytic cell was 30 mL. A schematic diagram of the electrochemical cell used in this work is shown in Figure S4. Potentials versus the reversible $\text{H}_2$ electrode (RHE) were calculated by eq 3.

\[
E_{\text{RHE}} = E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} + 0.0591 \times \text{pH} + E_{\text{Ag/AgCl}} (0.197 \text{V})
\]

(3)

Standard test strips and the TiO$_2$ UV-vis spectrometer method were used to measure the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ concentration in the reaction solution. Briefly, 0.01 M titanousxysulfate-sulfuric acid hydrate was dissolved in 3 M $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$. This solution was heated in a water bath and stirred until completely dissolved. We took 1 mL of the above solution and then took 1 mL of the solution from the reaction tank. We mixed these two solutions thoroughly and let them sit for 10 min. Subsequently, the absorbance of the solution at 407 nm was measured by UV–vis spectroscopy. The concentration of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ was calculated by the change in absorbance. The FE value for $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ generation was calculated by eq 4.

\[
\text{FE(}\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{)} = \frac{2 \times [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2] \times V \times M(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2) \times N_A \times 10^6}{Q \times 6.24 \times 10^{18}} \times 100\%
\]

(4)

where $\text{FE(}\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{)}$ is the FE for $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ formation, $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ is the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ concentration (mg/L), $V$ is the volume of the electrolyte (mL), $M(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)$ is the molar mass of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, $Q$ is the amount of passed charge (coulomb), and $N_A$ is the Avogadro constant.

The consumption of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ by anodic oxidation was calculated by eq 5.

\[
\text{[H}_2\text{O}_2\text{]}_{\text{anodic oxidation}} = ([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{added}} + [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{only KHCO}_3}) - [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{KHCOC}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2}
\]

(5)

where $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{anodic oxidation}}$ is the consumption amount of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ by anodic oxidation, $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{added}}$ is the amount of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ added to the electrolyte before the reaction, $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{only KHCO}_3}$ is the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ yield tested in the $\text{KHCO}_3$ solution without the added $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$, and $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{KHCOC}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2}$ is the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ yield tested in the $\text{KHCO}_3$ solution with the added $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$. The calculation in $\text{KHCO}_3$ is the same as in $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$. $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{added}} + [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{only KHCO}_3}$ is the theoretical $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ production. The effect of anodic oxidation is described by eq 6.

\[
\eta_{\text{anodic oxidation}} = \frac{([\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{theoretical}} - [\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{added}})}{[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]_{\text{theoretical}}} \times 100\%
\]

(6)

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

First, we compared the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ production performances of BDD samples with different boron additions in $\text{KHCO}_3$ and $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ aqueous solutions at various applied potentials for different testing times. The FE and production rates for the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ synthesis of the 0-BDD, 1-BDD, 3-BDD, and 5-BDD samples are shown in Figures S6–S9. Through comprehensive comparison, 5-BDD shows relatively better electrochemical performance of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ generation than other samples. Therefore, 5-BDD is chosen as the representative for comparative study with graphite. 5-BDD is represented by BDD throughout the following sections.

Previous studies have investigated the effect of different concentrations of $\text{KHCO}_3$ and $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ on the electrocatalytic production of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ by carbon-based materials and metal oxides.\textsuperscript{22,29,36} In general, the FE and production rate of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ will gradually increase as the electrocatalytic concentration increases. Therefore, in this work, 2 $\text{M KHCO}_3$ and $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$
solutions are selected as the electrolytes for comparative study. In 2 M K$_2$CO$_3$ and KHCO$_3$ carbonate and bicarbonate ions dominate, respectively, according to their equilibrium mole fractions (Figure S5). 36 H$_2$O$_2$ FE values of BDD and graphite in 2 M K$_2$CO$_3$ and KHCO$_3$ solutions are shown in Figure 2a–d. Notably, higher FE values are obtained from BDD as compared to graphite in both K$_2$CO$_3$ and KHCO$_3$ electrolytes. In KHCO$_3$, the peak FE value reaches ∼20.1% for BDD at 2.48 V vs RHE after a 15 min chronoamperometry test. The highest obtained FE for graphite in KHCO$_3$ is ∼5.9% at 2.68 V vs RHE. In K$_2$CO$_3$, BDD achieves the highest FE of 41.2% at 3.10 V vs RHE, while the peak FE for graphite is 10.2% at 3.30 V vs RHE (Table S2). For both BDD and graphite, FE generally decreases as the test time increases, which has been observed in previous works. 17,26 Likely because H$_2$O$_2$ generation is accompanied by anodic oxidation and natural decomposition. With increasing electrochemical time, more H$_2$O$_2$ is generated and accumulated in the solution, resulting in more H$_2$O$_2$ being oxidized and decomposed. In KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$, a similar trend of FE for BDD and graphite is seen as the potential gradually increases. In K$_2$CO$_3$, the FE for both BDD and graphite increases gradually as the potential increases. The FE in KHCO$_3$ does not increase, and it even decreases with an increase in applied potential, especially for BDD. The FE values for both BDD and graphite in K$_2$CO$_3$ at relatively positive potentials are considerably higher than those in KHCO$_3$, while the FE values in these two solutions are similar at lower potentials. This FE trend was also seen in a previous work of Wang’s group when they tested the H$_2$O$_2$ FE of fluoride-doped tin oxide conductive glass in Na$_2$CO$_3$ and NaHCO$_3$ solutions. 12

Our electrochemical tests indicate that BDD exhibits the superior selectivity of the 2e$^-$ WOR compared to graphite, that the carbonate-mediated electrolysis is more conducive to the generation of H$_2$O$_2$ than the bicarbonate-mediated one (this phenomenon has been observed for BDD thin film electrodes by Mavrikis et al. 27), and that the FE for H$_2$O$_2$ production in KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ has a markedly different trend with a change of applied bias. To explore the reasons for these results, we first considered the effects of decomposition and anodic oxidation on the production of H$_2$O$_2$. Figure 2e shows the variation of H$_2$O$_2$ concentrations in KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ as a function of storage time. H$_2$O$_2$ decomposes gradually with time in both solutions, and the reduction of the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration in K$_2$CO$_3$ is more significant than that in the KHCO$_3$ solution. It has been reported that the stability of H$_2$O$_2$ in alkaline solution is relatively poor. 46,47 In spite of this, the concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ in these two solutions changes very little within 1 h. After 1 hour, the amount of H$_2$O$_2$ decreased by 2.4% in KHCO$_3$, and this value was 5.9% in K$_2$CO$_3$. In this work, the sampling time of the reaction solution was 15, 30, and 45 min, respectively. Therefore, the natural decomposition has negligible influence on the measurement and comparison of the H$_2$O$_2$ concentration in this work. In practice, the loss of H$_2$O$_2$ caused by natural decomposition can be avoided to a large extent by in situ direct utilization (pollutant degradation) or formation of sodium percarbonate. 12,29,30 Adding a stabilizer, such as sodium silicate, is an effective means to improve the stability of H$_2$O$_2$ in solution. 28 In addition to natural decomposition, anodic oxidation is also an inhibiting factor for H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation because the thermodynamic oxidation potential of H$_2$O$_2$ is only 0.68 V vs RHE. 27 To evaluate the effects of anodic oxidation, the H$_2$O$_2$ production on BDD and graphite after a reaction time of 15 min at different potentials in KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ solutions with or without the addition of H$_2$O$_2$ was tested and compared (Figure 3). For graphite, the actual H$_2$O$_2$ production in KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ electrolytes with the addition of H$_2$O$_2$ is obviously
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**Figure 2.** (a–d) FE for H$_2$O$_2$ production on BDD and graphite measured in 2 M KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ at different potentials for various times. (e) Change in H$_2$O$_2$ concentration in KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ as a function of time.
lower than the theoretical H$_2$O$_2$ production tested at different potentials. The value of $\eta$ for graphite in KHCO$_3$ tested at 2.48, 2.68, and 3.08 V vs RHE is 15.8, 18.6, and 21.3%, respectively. In K$_2$CO$_3$, for graphite, the value is 18.4, 21.5, and 20.9%, respectively. Compared to graphite, $\eta$ for BDD is 5.6, 2.9, and 1.6% measured at 2.48, 2.68, and 3.08 V vs RHE, respectively. In K$_2$CO$_3$, for BDD, the value is 3.6, −3.1, and −1.7%, respectively. These results suggest that the anodic oxidation of H$_2$O$_2$ on the graphite electrode is relatively serious. In contrast, for BDD, the H$_2$O$_2$ production is close to the theoretical H$_2$O$_2$ production for both KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ solutions, especially at a higher applied bias. These results indicate that the anodic oxidation of H$_2$O$_2$ is inert on the BDD electrode compared to graphite.

To further explore the differences in performance, the adsorption of carbonate and bicarbonate on the catalyst surface was investigated. Previous reports have found that the FE and production rate of H$_2$O$_2$ can be significantly increased in relatively high concentrations of carbonate or bicarbonate electrolytes for various catalysts. Furthermore, H$_2$O$_2$ is derived from the hydrolysis of percarbonate species that originated from the electrochemical oxidation of carbonates and bicarbonates on the catalyst surface. These demonstrate that the adsorption of carbonate and bicarbonate is crucial for the generation of H$_2$O$_2$. FT-IR spectroscopy was used to detect the vibrational signals of carbonate and bicarbonate species on the surface of BDD and graphite electrodes after a reaction time of 5 min at different potentials (Figure 4). The IR spectra of solid powders and aqueous solutions of KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ are shown in Figure 4c.f. These data suggest that carbonate has strong absorption bands in the range of 1500–1200 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to two degenerate stretching vibration peaks of the CO$_3$ group. In addition to an absorption band in those similar ranges, bicarbonate also has a distinct peak between 1740 and 1520 cm$^{-1}$. It is observed that KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ aqueous solutions have vibrational peaks in both regions but with different intensities. This is due to ionization and hydrolysis in the carbonate and bicarbonate solutions. In comparison with bare and soaked samples, the adsorption of the carbonate and bicarbonate species is found to occur on the catalysts after the electrochemical reactions. Similar phenomena have been found in previous works. All samples were thoroughly rinsed after treatment. In general, the adsorption of bicarbonate species on BDD and graphite is fairly weak and does not increase with an increase in potential. The adsorption of carbonate species on BDD and graphite is stronger than that of bicarbonate and significantly increases as the potential is moved positive. These vibrational spectra are in good agreement with the variation trend of FE for BDD and graphite in KHCO$_3$ and K$_2$CO$_3$ solutions with a change of applied bias, which confirms the proportional relationship...
Figure 4. FT-IR spectra of bare BDD (a) and graphite (d) soaked in K$_2$CO$_3$ and in K$_2$CO$_3$ and reacted for 5 min at different potentials. FT-IR spectra of bare graphite (b) and BDD (e) soaked in KHCO$_3$ and in KHCO$_3$ and reacted for 5 min at different potentials. (c) FT-IR spectra of K$_2$CO$_3$ and KHCO$_3$ aqueous solutions. (f) FT-IR spectra of K$_2$CO$_3$ and KHCO$_3$ solid powders.

Figure 5. Structures of *H$_2$O, *OH, *CO$_3$, and *HCO$_3$ species adsorbed on the surfaces of (a) graphite and (b) BDD. (c) Comparison of adsorption energies of *H$_2$O, *OH, *CO$_3$, and *HCO$_3$ species on the surfaces of BDD and graphite. (d) Schematic diagram of electrocatalytic production of H$_2$O$_2$ mediated by carbonate and bicarbonate.
between the adsorption of carbonate and bicarbonate and the production of H₂O₂.

In view of the importance of adsorption of carbonates and bicarbonates, DFT calculations were performed to evaluate the adsorption energies of these ions on BDD and graphite surfaces. The computational details are described in the Supporting Information. In the electrochemical process, the 4e-WOR for oxygen evolution is the primary competitive reaction of the 2e-WOR for H₂O₂ production. The adsorption of water molecules and hydroxide ions on the surface of the catalyst has a fundamental effect on the 4e-WOR for oxygen evolution. Therefore, it is necessary to calculate and compare the adsorption of water molecules and hydroxide ions. The structures of *H₂O, *OH, *CO₃, and *HCO₃ species adsorbed on BDD and graphite are shown in Figure 5a,b. These species are all adsorbed on the carbon atom through the O species. On both BDD and graphite, the adsorption energy of H₂O is rather low, especially on graphite. Under alkaline conditions, hydroxide ions will be more involved in electrochemical reactions. The strong adsorption of hydroxyl ions on the catalyst surface is likely to lead to the formation of metal-oxo species (*O), which makes the catalytic reaction tend to go through the 4e-WOR process. Therefore, it is more suitable to estimate the reaction tendency of the 2e- and 4e-WORs based on the competitive adsorption of carbonate and bicarbonate and hydroxide ions. In general, the adsorption of these ions on BDD is stronger than that on graphite, which is understandable from the different carbon hybridizations. For BDD and graphite, the adsorption energies of *CO₃ species are much higher than those of HCO₃ species, which is consistent with the results of IR spectroscopy. On graphite, the adsorption energy of *OH is slightly higher than that of *CO₃ but significantly higher than that of *HCO₃, which is consistent with the low FE of graphite tested in the KHCO₃ solution and the relatively higher FE in the K₂CO₃ solution. On the BDD, the adsorption energy of *CO₃ is higher than that of *OH, and the adsorption energy of *HCO₃ is marginally lower than that of *OH. To some extent, these data can explain the experimental phenomenon that BDD shows higher FE in K₂CO₃ than in KHCO₃, but both are much higher than those of graphite. As presented in Figure 5d, considering the mediating effects of carbonate and bicarbonate, a stronger competitive adsorption of these ions than water and hydroxide ions in the electrocatalytic process likely results in a higher selectivity for H₂O₂ production.

CONCLUSIONS

In this work, BDD single crystals with various added amounts of boron have been synthesized, and their performance as compared to that of graphite as the anodes for electrochemical H₂O₂ production via the 2e-WOR was investigated. BDD exhibits superior selectivity for H₂O₂ generation compared to graphite in both KHCO₃ and K₂CO₃ electrolytes. For both BDD and graphite, carbonate is more beneficial to the generation of H₂O₂ than bicarbonate. In addition, the anodic oxidation of H₂O₂ is relatively sluggish on the BDD electrode compared to the graphite. In K₂CO₃ electrolyte solutions, the FE values for both BDD and graphite increase gradually as the potential increases in general, while in KHCO₃, the FE does not increase, and it even decreases with an increase in applied potential. The IR spectra show that the adsorption of carbonate on BDD or graphite is stronger than that of bicarbonate, and the adsorption of carbonate increases with potential, while the adsorption of bicarbonate does not. DFT calculations confirm the stronger adsorption of carbonate than that of bicarbonate on BDD and graphite, suggesting that the competitive adsorption of carbonates, bicarbonates, water, and hydroxide ions on the surface of catalysts probably fundamentally affects the selectivity of the 2e-WOR.
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