A Metal–Organic Framework with Cooperative Phosphines That Permit Post-Synthetic Installation of Open Metal Sites
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Abstract: PCM-101 is a phosphine coordination material comprised of tris(p-carboxylato)triphenylphosphine and secondary pillaring groups coordinated to $[M_3(OH)]^{4+}$ nodes ($M = \text{Co, Ni}$). PCM-101 has a unique topology in which $R\cdot\cdot\cdot P$ sites are arranged directly trans to one another, with a $P\cdot\cdot\cdot P$ separation distance dictated by the pillars. Post-synthetic coordination of soft metals to the $P$ sites proceeds at room temperature to provide X-ray quality crystals that permit full structural resolution. Addition of AuCl groups forces a large distorsion of the parent framework. In contrast, CuBr undergoes insertion directly between the trans-$P$ sites to form dimers that mimic solution-phase complexes, but that are geometrically strained due to steric pressure exerted by the MOF scaffold. The metalated materials are active in heterogeneous hydroaddition catalysis under mild conditions, providing different major products compared to their molecular counterparts.

Metal–Organic frameworks (MOFs) are an attractive platform for the synthesis of new types of heterogeneous catalysts.[1] Unlike amorphous catalysts prepared by deposition of molecular species onto support substrates (for example, aluminosilicates), the crystalline nature of MOFs allows for incorporation of structurally well-defined metal sites by design. The microporous nature of MOFs could also provide size- and chemo-selective gating between reagents and the catalyst sites inside the pores, while also providing a large volumetric density of active sites.[2]

Demonstrations of these principles are relatively few in number.[3] The three most common synthetic strategies employed to access such materials are as follows. The first is by the generation of open metal sites in a pre-formed MOF via removal of labile, coordinated solvent molecules.[4] This method is simple, but dependent on the structure of a given framework and generates a limited range of open metal sites. The second is by the use of pre-functionalized building blocks to assemble MOFs with chemical handles that permit post-synthetic modification. This method is more widely applicable for the coordination of metals, or via organic reactions to install secondary metal coordination sites.[5] A downfall of this approach is that such modifications can cause loss of crystallinity, preventing full structural determination of the products. The third is by the use of pre-formed, molecular complexes as MOF building blocks.[6] This direct method can provide crystalline products that permit full structural elucidation by X-ray diffraction, but precursor complexes can undergo decomposition (for example, leaching) under MOF-forming conditions. As such, chelated complexes are preferred, but their preparation can be arduous and low-yielding.

We have recently concentrated on the synthesis of phosphine coordination materials (PCMs) using organophosphines as MOF building blocks, since they are ubiquitous in organometallic chemistry.[7] Our earlier research using monophosphines (R-P) to prepare PCMs gave limited scope for post-synthetic addition of reactive metal species via route 2 (above), because it is difficult to assemble materials with two or more $P$ sites that permit co-operative metal chelation. Instead, we explored the use of pre-formed phosphine coordination complexes decorated with ancillary carboxylic acids (namely, approach 3). We showed that Pu$^{III}$ or Pt$^{II}$ complexes of carboxylated bis(phosphines)[6a] and PCP-pin-cers[6b,c] could be used to prepare porous PCMs with unique solid-state reactivity. However, the multi-step routes to prepare the precursors are complicated and large quantities are usually required in the search for optimal MOF-forming conditions.

In the quest to identify a simpler, more versatile and scalable method, we attempted to merge the former two strategies by using monophosphines to spontaneously assemble PCMs with bidentate ($P_2$) coordination sites. The specific intention was to fuse 2D phosphine-decorated bilayers[6d] into 3D arrays, such that the $P_2$ sites were arranged directly trans to each other. This goal was recently achieved using pillaring organic groups.

PCM-101 is a 3D microporous MOF based on coordination of tris(p-carboxylato)triphenylphosphine ($P(C_6H_4-4-
Crystalline PCM-101 was obtained in high yields by slow heating of solutions of M(BF$_4$)$_2$ and the ligands at 75°C over 12 h, in DMF:MeOH:H$_2$O solvent (5:2:1). Single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) of the Co$^{II}$ analogue gave the formula, [Co$_2$(μ$_2$-OH)$_2$](tctp)$_2$(4,4′-bipy)$_2$HBF$_4$.7H$_2$O-2H$_2$O-5DMF, in good agreement with all other characterizing data (Supporting Information). PCM-101 occupies the orthorhombic space group $Immm$ ($Z=2$); the Co and Ni analogues are isostructural and phase-pure, confirmed by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD; Supporting Information, Figure S1). TctpH$_3$ is susceptible to slow oxidation; products obtained from reactions conducted in air using bench solvents result in some oxidation to P=O (between 10–25% by FTIR and SCXRD; Supporting Information, Figure S2). Reactions prepared under N$_2$ using degassed solvents provide oxide-free isolated crystalline products.

The high-symmetry [M$_2$(μ$_2$-OH)]$^{5+}$ clusters act as octahedral nodes, in which the three equatorial cluster sites are occupied by bipy-N donors (N1 and N2; Figure 1A); there is no coordinated solvent in the lattice. Calculated bond valence sum (BVS) values using the Co-PCM-101 bond distances give average net charge values of -1.21 for the central μ$_2$-O atom and +2.06 for each Co$^{II}$ ion. As observed previously,$^{[9]}$ the trigonal tctp$^{3-}$ phosphine triation favors the formation of 2D bilayer sheets with surfaces decorated with P: groups (Figure 1B,C). Importantly, the 2D bilayers in PCM-101 are fused into 3D arrays via 4,4′-bipy inter-layer pillars linking between adjacent [M$_2$(OH)]$^{5+}$ nodes (blue ligands; Figure 1); 4,4′-bipy ligands are also present as intra-layer pillars (green ligands; Figure 1). As a result, phosphine lone pairs on either side of a pore point directly at one another, with a P−P centroid separation of 7.22 Å, dictated by the inter-layer separation imposed by 4,4′-bipy. This arrangement provides accessible trans-bis(phosphine) coordination pockets. The largest pores have van der Waals-accessible openings of 11.7 × 22.9 Å (Figure 1C).

PCM-101 is thermally stable up to 340°C and solvent of crystallization is removed upon heating below 175°C (Supporting Information, Figure S3). The desolvated materials prepared using Co$^{II}$ or Ni$^{II}$ are permanently porous, confirmed by gas adsorption-desorption analysis of bulk samples (Supporting Information, Figures S4 and S5). The Ni$^{II}$-based material consistently formed in the highest yield, so it was used for subsequent bulk experiments. Gas sorption analysis using N$_2$ (78 K) and CO$_2$ (196 K) gave BET surface areas of 315 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ and 350 m$^2$g$^{-1}$, respectively, with corresponding pore volumes of 0.17 and 0.19 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$. PCM-101 provides a unique platform to study post-synthetic metalation reactions at the trans-bis(phosphine) sites, in the solid-state because long-range order is maintained upon metalation, permitting full SCXRD analysis of the composite materials—examples of which remain rare amongst MOFs.

First, PCM-101 was treated with (dimethylsulphide)gold(I) chloride, (Me$_2$S)AuCl and allowed to stand at room temperature for 12 h under N$_2$, without stirring. Bulk PXRD analysis of the products gave a very different pattern, indicating a change in metric symmetry (Supporting Information, Figure S6). SCXRD confirmed successful terminal coordination of AuCl to the P: sites, with a refined site occupancy of 55%. The single crystal-to-single crystal metalation incurred a large distortion of the host lattice, causing tilting of the pores in the crystallographic ab plane (Figure 2A(ı)). The origin of the distortion is a change in the coordination bond angles subtended between the pillaring 4,4′-bipy-N2 and Co2 atoms from 180 to 169.7° (Figure 1A; compare Figure 2A(ı)). This induces a lowering of cell symmetry such that AuCl-PCM-101 inhabits the primitive orthorhombic space group, $Pnnm$ ($Z=4$). The C−P−C bond angles also become slightly more obtuse upon AuCl coordination from 102.5−103.0° to 102.3−107.4°.

The measured surface areas and pore volumes were moderately reduced upon addition of AuCl into the pores ($S_{BET} = 282$ and 181 m$^2$g$^{-1}$; $V_{pore} = 0.14$ and 0.08 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$ for N$_2$ and CO$_2$, respectively; Supporting Information, Figure S7). 2D energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) mapping of the crystallites conducted by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) confirmed uniform incorporation of Au throughout the crystallites.
To gain a better understanding of the AuCl loading mechanism in relation to the observed structural distortion, we performed a series of additional experiments using fractional amounts of the AuCl precursor. When PCM-101 was treated with 0.25 or 0.5 molar equiv of (Me₅Si)AuCl, the bulk PXRD spectra resembled the unloaded parent PCM-101 material (Supporting Information, Figure S10). Interestingly, when 0.75 equiv were added, the PXRD pattern showed reflections corresponding to both the original I-centered cell and the distorted P-centered cell. This indicates that higher %Au loading is a driving force for the structural deformation.

We next attempted to achieve cooperative metatalation using the trans-P₂ pockets in PCM-101. By performing a search of known trans-bis(phosphine) complexes in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD), we noticed that the family of trans-(R,P)CuBr₂ dimers fall in the range 6.35–7.56 Å (mean = 7.31 Å), encompassing the P–P separation distance in PCM-101 (7.22 Å). Direct treatment of PCM-101 with CuBr·MeSMe yielded single crystals that were visibly unchanged. SCXRD revealed the successful insertion of CuBr₂ squares into P₂ pockets with retention of host lattice symmetry (Figure 2A(ii), C). The refined site occupancy of CuBr moieties in this material was 56% compared with 52% by ICP-OES analysis (Supporting Information, Table S1), indicating that slightly more than half of the available P₂ pockets were occupied with CuBr₂ dimers. As for LAuCl complexes, monomeric CuBr-phosphine coordination complexes are linear, but rarely seen, requiring sterically encumbered phosphines such as P(Mes),[14] Commonly, CuBr forms dimers and larger clusters (for example, P₃CuBr₆)[15] with angular P–Cu–Br bonds, as observed here. Interestingly, CuBr incorporation into PCM-101 incurs a slight expansion of the trans-P–P distance from 7.22 to 7.31 Å for the framework to accommodate the CuBr₂ moiety. Accordingly, the CuBr₂ dimers are compressed along the P–Cu–Cu–P vector when compared to unsupported small molecule analogues in the CSD. This suggests that the MOF applies a steric pressure to the cluster. The Cu–Cu distance is 6.0% shorter than the observed mean value (2.91 Å; mean = 3.08 Å) while the Cu–Br distances (2.39 Å) are the shortest observed.[16]

Extensive solid-state characterization of CuBr-PCM-101 by SEM/EDX and PXRD confirmed clean and uniform incorporation of Cu⁺ throughout the crystals (Supporting Information, Figures S13, S14). Interestingly, while CuBr-PCM-101 showed an expected decrease in its BET surface area for CO₂ (223 m² g⁻¹), the BET surface area by N₂ was increased by 36% to 429 m² g⁻¹ (Supporting Information, Figure S15). This may be indicative of enhanced N₂ sorption at the accessible CuₓBr₂ groups. Enhanced gas sorption by this material, and by other post-synthetically metalated versions of PCM-101, is presently under investigation in our laboratory.

To assess the accessibility and reactivity of metalated groups in the pores of PCM-101, catalytic alkyne hydroaddition was chosen as a model probe reaction (Scheme 1). This conversion is well understood in homogeneous systems, and is catalyzed by late transition-metal complexes,[27] including Cu⁺ and Au⁺.[18] In this reaction, an alkyne feedstock (4-pentyn-1-ol, I, Scheme 1) is activated via coordination to the transition metal. Intramolecular nucleophilic attack by
the alcohol followed by proton transfer yields the gem-alkene, which quickly reacts with water to give the hemi-acetal, 3. Alternatively, nucleophilic attack at 2 by a second equiv of 1 gives the furan 4; hydration of the alkyl in 4 yields the ketone 5 (Scheme 1).

This reaction was considered a useful model probe for the metallated PCM-101 materials for several reasons: the cyclized products are easily distinguished from the reactants by 1H-NMR studies; the cyclic products have a larger critical diameter than the linear precursors, which could present mass-transport limitations inside the PCM-101 micropores, and the constrained reaction environments inside the micropores might result in differences in reaction orientations, leading to different product outcomes compared with solution-phase reactions. The Au\(^+\)-catalyzed hydroaddition of 1 to 3 was demonstrated by Mon et al., who employed a thioether-decorated methionine MOF as the catalyst support. They obtained 3 under mild conditions and without the need for activation of the S-AuCl sites with weakly coordinating anions. In our experiments, vacuum-dried M-PCM-101 crystals (M = CuBr, AuCl; 2.5 mol %) were suspended in dry CDCl\(_3\), to which was added 1 equiv of 1 and an equimolar amount of C\(_2\)H\(_2\) to act as an internal NMR standard.

The mixtures were sealed and left to react at 50 °C. Aliquots were removed for analysis by 1H-NMR at various times between 1–72 h (Figure 3; Supporting Information, Figures S16–S33; Table S3). Control reactions were also performed under identical conditions, using un-metalated PCM-101 and small molecule catalysts ([(Ph\(_3\)P)CuBr] and (Ph\(_3\)P)AuCl) Supporting Information, Figures S34, S35). The results obtained after 24 h are shown in Table 1.

A direct comparison of the data in Table 1 shows that the metallated PCs were both significantly more active than their molecular counterparts under identical reaction conditions (entries 1 and 2 vs. 7 and 8). The AuCl-based material was much more active than the CuBr material, in line with the observed molecular reactivity. All reactions yielded exclusively the condensation products 4 and 5. An un-metalated PCM-101 control showed no measurable activity (entry 9). After 24 h, the PCM catalysts yielded 14–25 % of ketone 5, obtained by hydration of 4, which is known to be mediated by Au\(^+\). As the reaction progressed further, conversion of 4 to 5 increased to 80 % (Figure 3; blue data). The increase in hydration products with time indicates that residual water was present inside the pores, even though pre-dried solvents were employed.
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**Scheme 1.** M-PCM-101-catalyzed hydroaddition of 4-pentyn-1-ol (1) showing the expected alcohol 3, and observed alkyne 4, and ketone 5 obtained by condensation of intermediate 2 with a second equiv of the feedstock, 1.

The post-catalysis AuCl-based materials were analyzed by PXRD, TGA, IR, TEM, and XPS (Supporting Information, Figures S36–S42). No evidence of reduction to Au\(^0\) clusters or bulk metal was found in the PXRD pattern or by TEM imaging; XPS indicated the presence of ca. 6 % Au\(^{11}\), which is likely to correspond to molecular Au\(^{11}\) species generated by oxidative addition of alkenes to the Au\(^0\) centers. Au\(^{11}\) complexes have been shown to be active hydroaddition intermediates. Recyclability studies of AuCl-PCM-101 showed that the material remained active after multiple uses, although a continual reduction in catalytic activity was observed (Table 1; entries 2–5, and Supporting Information, Figures S43, S44). To further probe the potential cause of the observed loss of activity in AuCl-PCM-101 upon recycling, the material recovered after four cycles was analyzed by ICP-OES, which revealed a 10 % decrease in total Au content compared to the fresh catalyst (from 63 to 53 %; Supporting Information, Table S3). Efforts were also made to assess whether the catalytic reactions were occurring predominantly at (or near) the crystallite surfaces, or inside the micropores. If the former were true, increasing the crystallite surface area-to-volume ratio should result in a proportional increase in the observed rate of catalysis. Samples of AuCl-PCM-101 were thus ground by ball mill to produce microcrystalline powders. SEM/EDX and PXRD analyses showed no formation of nanoparticles upon milling (Supporting Information, Figures S45, S46). SEM was used to measure the average change in surface area to volume ratio by assuming cubic morphology (Supporting Information, Figures S47, S48). The surface area-to-volume ratio of the ball-milled crystallites was increased by 3076 % compared to the unground material. However, the observed conversion of the milled sample after 24 h was only increased
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**Figure 3.** Time-dependent catalytic conversion (---) and selectivity (----) for AuCl-PCM-101 (blue) and the ball-milled material (red).

**Table 1:** Data for the PCM-101-catalyzed intramolecular hydroaddition of 4-pentyn-1-ol after 24 h.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Con. [%] [b]</th>
<th>S(_1) [%] [c]</th>
<th>S(_2) [%] [c]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CuBr-PCM-101</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AuCl-PCM-101</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1st recycle</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2nd recycle</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3rd recycle</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>AuCl-PCM-101*</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>[(Ph(_3)P)CuBr] (_2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(Ph(_3)P)AuCl</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PCM-101</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] See the Supporting Information. [b] 2.5 mol % catalyst loading in all cases. [c] determined by 1H-NMR versus C\(_2\)H\(_6\) internal standard.

*Denotes the ball-milled catalyst.
by 22% (Table 1; entries 2 and 6, and Figure 3; red data). Grinding should increase the number of accessible pore openings and decrease the average pore length, alleviating mass transport limitations. This may explain the modest increase in the rate of catalysis observed herein. In contrast, after grinding, the percentage of AuCl sites on the crystallite surfaces versus those inside the crystals is only increased from 0.013% to 0.42% (Supporting Information, Table S4). The overall conversions observed were similar to those obtained for equimolar amounts of the molecular catalyst, so it is highly likely that the catalysis occurred inside the pores in this study. XPS of the ball-milled materials post-catalysis also indicated a greater amount of AuIII than in the unground samples (Supporting Information, Figure S40).

In summary, we have demonstrated the post-synthetic metalation of a phosphine-based MOF, with full X-ray diffraction analysis of the resulting structures. The flexible nature of PCM-101 in the solid state allowed the incorporation of different Group 10 metal species via the formation of direct P–M bonds. These metal species are both stable with respect to leaching and are readily accessible, acting as single-site heterogeneous catalysts that show higher activity than their molecular counterparts.
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